Opportunities for maritime design and technology cooperation in the energy market offshore.



Green Shipping: co-operation between Japan and Norway in the maritime field. Japan-Norway maritime working meeting 3 June 2015



#### **Presentation content**

#### Background information

- Sevan Floating Powerplant
- Potential Application in Japan
- Project organisation
- Potential local content
- Summary



#### **Sevan Floating Powerplant - Involved Companies**

# SIEMENS

Energy Systems - Powerplant

- Power transmission

#### DNV·GL

Safety and risk evaluations 3rd party verification Regulatory compliance



Floater design LNG Loading system Regas plant System integration



#### Sevan 700MW Power Plant – Main Characteristics



- Based on Siemens Combined Cycle (Gas/ Steam) Power Plant, CCPP
- 700 MW Powerplant configuration:
  - 2 x 350 MW CCPP blocks each consisting of:
    - 5 Siemens SGT800 Gas Turbine generator sets
    - 5 Heat Recovery Steam Generators (HRSG)
    - 1 SST-900 Steam Turbine generator set
- Power rating can be tailored in steps of 70 MW
- Plant electric efficiency ~ 55%
- High Voltage AC or DC Transmission lines to shore



# 2008 Initial idea – Electrification of NCS

Example – Halten Offshore power plant



CO2 pipeline to reservoir for deposit

#### Sevan GTW 700 CO<sub>2</sub> capture plant

CO<sub>2</sub> extraction/ compression integrated in hull

- 90% CO2 capture from flue gas feasible ( study with SINTEF)
- Net power capacity after CO2 removal ~ 570 MW
- Net efficiency after CO2 removal is ~45%
- CO2 compression to liquid stage for injection into subsea reservoir.





#### Sevan GTW 700 CO<sub>2</sub> capture plant

Feasibility demonstrated by two SINTEF studies

		TECHNICAL REPORT		
<b>()</b> S	SINTEF	SUBJECT/TASK (title)		
SINTEF Energy Research Address: NO-7465 Trondheim,		Offshore power generation with CCS – Phase 2		
Reception:	NORWAY Sem Sælands vei 11			
Telephone: +47 73 59 72 00		Jans Hetland, Hanna M. Kyamsdal, Gais Haugan, Aslak Finhu		
Telefax:	+47 73 59 72 50	Quality is assured by Hallword E. Syandson and Olay Juliusson		
www.energy.sintef.no		Quality is assured by Hanvard F. Svendsen and Orav Junussen		
www.energy.s	sinter.no	CLIENTS(S)		
Enterprise No NO 939 350 6	.: 675 MVA	Sevan Marine ASA		
R NO.	DATE	CLIENT'S REF.	PROJECT NO.	
ГR F6732	2008-11-05	Fredrik Major	16X785.01	
EL. FILE CODE	REPORT TYPE	RESPONSIBLE (NAME, SIGN.)	CLASSIFICATION	
		Inge R. Gran	Confidential	
SBN N0.		RESEARCH DIRECTOR (NAME, SIGN)	COPIES PAGES	
		Inge R. Gran hege &. Coran	121	
IVISION		LOCATION	LOCAL FAX	
Energy Processes		K. Hejes v. 1A, 7465 Trondheim	+47 73592880	
RESULT (summarv)				

This study supports the main conclusion drawn from phase one that a post-combustion  $CO_2$  capture easily integrated with a natural gas-fired power plant on board a Sevan floating structure – designated (gas-to-liquid) - intended for offshore operations. The study has been constrained by the Sevan float SIEMENS modular power system with a net rating of 450 MW<sub>e</sub> (with CCS). The net efficiency - after and compression of the  $CO_2$  (1.47 Mtpa) – is estimated at 45%, thus, implying that the post-combustion system is accountable for a fuel penalty of 9% points. The study has refined the conceptual design. Mos the dimensioning height of the absorption columns and the overall performance. The rational behind th selection is the urgency in making appropriate steps for a quick start. Despite the capture cost, which r high, the Sevan GTW presents itself as a realistic concept deemed to be within reach today.

#### **Presentation content**

- Background information
- Sevan Floating Powerplant
- Potential Application in Japan
- Project organisation
- Potential local content
- Summary



#### Sevan LNG 700MW fed by imported LNG



- Gas from imported LNG
- LNG stored in tanks onboard (190 000 220 000 m<sup>3</sup>)
- LNG re-gasified and fed into gas turbines
- Gas consumption 3,5 mill sm<sup>3</sup>/day ~ 5800 m<sup>3</sup> LNG/day
  => 32-38 days of full output from one full unit)

#### 

#### Sevan 700MW FSRU/ Power Plant – Located Offshore Fukushima



#### **Benefits of Offshore LNG Terminal/ Power Plant – Negligible risk to public**

- Personnel Risk to third party is typically controlled by exclusion zones, reducing both probability of and consequence of major hazard scenarios.
- Offshore LNG import terminals will typically impose negligible risk to third party when located out side major shipping lanes.
- Specific site locations should bee evaluated by Quantitative Risk Assessment to verify the suitability of the site.
- Ref: DNVGL LNG QRA GUIDELINE, TN 16





Sevan 700MW Power Plant – Alternative gas feed solutions Indicative power cost at cable shorefall

Assumptions: Average power production 600MW **50km HVAC connection to shore** Investment depreciated over 30 years at 10% IRR



Gas/ LNG cost (USD/mmbtu)

### **Cooperation with Massachusetts Institute of Technology**



# Collaborators



Newport News Shipbuilding

A Division of Huntington Ingalls Industries



## **Advisory Board:**

G. Apostolakis (ret. NRC) J. Lyons (IAEA) J. P. Benque (ret. EDF) C. van Hooijdonk (Homar BV)









Acknowledgements: MIT Research Support Committee, E. Ingersoll and A. Finan (Clean Air Task Force)

# Offshore Floating Nuclear Powerplant (OFNP) based on Sevan design

- All safety-critical components are in watertight underdeck compartments
- High deck enhances security
- Minor maintenance at sea; major infrequent (~10 years) maintenance in centralized shipyard
- Operate in monthly or semimonthly shifts with onboard living quarters (oil/gas offshore platform model)



- Flexible refueling (12-48 months); spent fuel stored in pool designed for up to plant lifetime, with passive decay heat removal system
- Includes desalination units + condensate storage tank for water makeup

#### We make the (floater) world go round

