# Trend Report of the Values of Intensively Used Land in Major Cities - Land Value LOOK Report -

44<sup>th</sup> Issue - Third Quarter of 2018

Trend from July 1, 2018 to October 1, 2018

Land Price Research Division Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism November 2018

# Survey Outline

#### 1. Survey objective

To clarify those land value trends of intensively used districts in major cities on a quarterly basis, which tend to indicate property market trends leadingly.

# 2. Matters to be surveyed

Licensed Real Property Appraisers (LRPAs) collect information on the real property markets of the surveyed districts, and estimate land value trends by using real property appraisal approaches to value. The results are to be aggregated by the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism.

# 3. Surveyed districts

Those districts in three major metropolitan areas (Tokyo, Osaka and Nagoya areas) and other major cities, land price trends of which are particularly important in the real property market. A total of 100 districts, including 43 districts in Tokyo area, 25 districts in Osaka area, 9 districts in Nagoya area, and 23 districts in other major cities, are surveyed. (See the attached sheet for the outlines of the districts.). Residential districts comprise of districts intensively used for high-rise apartments, etc. (32 districts). Commercial districts comprise of districts where shops and/or offices are intensively concentrated (68 districts).

- \*1. Tokyo Area = Saitama, Chiba, Tokyo, and Kanagawa Prefectures; Osaka Area = Kyoto, Osaka, Hyogo, and Nara Prefectures; Nagoya Area = Aichi Prefecture
- \*2. In the first quarter of 2012, the following two changes were made for this survey: (a) new seven districts (Near Morioka Station, Near Itsutsubashi, Near Koriyama Station, Koishikawa, Near Tokyo Skytree Station, Near Shinagawa Station East Exit, and Near Hankyu Nishinomiya Kitaguchi Station) replaced seven old districts (Near Takasaki Station, Near Tsukuba Station, Higashi Ginza, Hongo/Yushima, Osaki, Hamamatsu Station Kita, and Near Hanshin Nishinomiya Station); and (b) the category of the Ikeshita district was switched from commercial to residential.
- 3. In the first quarter of 2013, Karuizawa was replaced by In front of Nagano Station as one of commercial districts outside the three major metropolitan areas.
- \*4. In the first quarter of 2014, Shibaura and Ebisu were replaced by Ariake and Aomi/Daiba.
- \*5. In the first quarter of 2015, Odori Koen, Hakodate Honcho, and 48 other districts were removed to reduce the sample size of the survey to 100.
- \*6. In the first quarter of 2016, Nishicho/Sogawa was replaced by Near Toyama Station as one of commercial districts outside the three major metropolitan areas.

# 4. Survey period

\*Note 2

Four times a year - January 1, April 1, July 1 and October 1

# 5. Organization in charge of survey

The Japan Real Estate Institute

# 6. Explanation of surveyed items

Overall evaluation: LRPAs estimate the land values of <u>representative locations within the surveyed districts</u> by using real property appraisal methods. The quarterly rate of change for each location is expressed by way of nine classifications (\*Note 1).

\*Note 1 : Increase (6% or higher) 🥖 :Increase (3% or higher but less than 6%) 🧀 : Increase (exceeding 0% but less than 3%)

📥 : Unchanged (0%) 💫 : Decrease (exceeding 0% but less than 3%) 🕎 : Decrease (3% or higher but less than 6%)

💊 : Decrease (6% or higher but less than 9%) 🛐 : Decrease (9% or higher but less than 12%) 🕥 : Decrease (12% or higher)

Surveyed items: The quarterly trends of the following factors affecting the land value trends in the surveyed districts are evaluated and described by a LRPA in the three classifications (\*Note 2).

 $\Delta$ : Increase, rise  $\Box$ : Unchanged  $\nabla$ : Decrease, fall

Transaction Price: Prices of traded real estate (land, or land and building complexes) in the surveyed district.

Cap Rate: Cap rate of real estate (land, or land and building complexes) transactions in the surveyed district.

**Office rent:** Office rents in commercial districts.

Store rent: Store rents in commercial districts.

Price of condominiums: The value of condominiums in residential districts.

Apartment rent: Apartment rents in residential districts.

# Survey Results

# 1. General Trend

- We monitored 100 intensively developed districts in major cities and found the following changes in land value during the third quarter of 2018 (from July 1, 2018 to October 1, 2018) [numbers in the previous survey in square brackets]:
  - > Increased in 96 [95] districts, stayed flat in 4 [5] districts, and declined in 0 [0] district.
  - > The percentages of districts with growing land value were over 90% during the last three successive quarters since the first quarter of 2018.
  - > The 96 increasing value districts can be broken down in the following way by increase rate:

Increase Rate		Distr	ricts
3% to 6%	15 [13] districts	:	Ekimae Dori (Sapporo City); Shinjuku San-chome (Tokyo); Kabukicho (Tokyo); Shibuya (Tokyo); Yokohama
			Eki Nishiguchi (Yokohama City); Taikoguchi (Nagoya City); Fushimi (Nagoya City); Kanayama (Nagoya City);
			Shinsaibashi (Osaka City); Namba (Osaka City); Fukushima (Osaka City); San'nomiya Ekimae (Kobe City);
			Near Hakata Station (Fukuoka City); Shimodori Area (Kumamoto City); and Kenchomae (Naha City)

#### 0% to 3% Remaining 81 [82] districts

- We believe that the continuing large proportion of districts with rising land value was mainly caused by the factors described below:
  - the easy monetary policy of the Bank of Japan, under the present economic recovery with strong labor and earnings conditions, generated a favorable financing environment, which allows to maintain current strong investor appetites for real estate, including office, retail, hotel and residential assets; and
  - major metropolitan areas recently saw the following phenomena in the real estate market: (a) a continued recovery of the office leasing market with improved vacancy rate and increased rents; (b) a considerable number of recently completed, large-scale real estate development projects, which help attract more businesses and visitors to their areas; (c) an active construction demand for retail and lodging facilities thanks to an increasing number of foreign tourists visiting Japan; and (d) stable demand for apartments in areas with locational advantages.
- Additional comments on the latest survey results are as follows:
  - The following districts witnessed an upward movement in terms of land value change categories:
     (i) three residential districts in Tokyo (Shinagawa, Toyosu, and Tachikawa) → changed from flat to the 0% to 3% category;
     (ii) three commercial districts (Shinjuku San-chome (Tokyo), Kabukicho (Tokyo), and Kenchomae (Naha City)) → moved up to the 3% to 6% category.
  - The following three districts saw a smaller value increase rate compared with the previous survey: (a) Omotesando (Tokyo, commercial, from the 3% to 6% category to the 0% to 3% category); (b) Ginza Chuo (Tokyo, commercial, from the 0% to 3% category to flat); and (c) O'hori (Fukuoka City, residential, from the 0% to 3% category to flat).
  - > The two commercial districts of Motomachi (Yokohama City) and Nagano Ekimae (Nagano City) continued to see a flat movement in land value.
  - > There were no districts with a negative land value growth rate during the last 17 successive quarters since the third quarter of 2014 in the nation.

# 2. <u>Trend by Area</u>

- Three Major Metropolitan Areas (77 districts)
  - <u>Tokyo area</u> (43 districts): positive growth districts (41 [39] districts, approximately 95% of the total); flat districts (2 [4]); no negative district (three districts + from flat to positive; two districts + larger positive growth; one district + smaller positive growth; and one district + from positive to flat)
  - Osaka area (25 districts): all districts witnessed positive growth during the first three quarters in 2018
  - <u>Nagoya area</u> (9 districts): positive growth districts only, for 22 consecutive quarters since the second quarter of 2013
- Outside the three major metropolitan areas (23 districts): positive growth districts (21 [22], almost all districts in these areas); flat district (2 [1]); no negative district (one district  $\rightarrow$  larger positive growth; and one district  $\rightarrow$  from positive to flat)

# 3. <u>Trend by Land Use</u>

- Of 32 residential districts, land value increased in 31 [29] and remained flat in 1 [3] district. (three districts  $\rightarrow$  from flat to positive; and one district  $\rightarrow$  from positive to flat)
- Among 68 commercial districts, land value increased in 65 [66] and stayed flat in 3 [2] districts. (three districts -> larger positive growth; one district -> smaller positive growth; and one district -> from positive to flat)

			Increase		Unchanged			Decrease					
	Quarter	<b>6%</b> or	3% or	exceeding		exceeding	3% or	6% or	9% or	12% or	Ro	w Total	
		higher	higher but less than 6%	0% but less than 3%	▶ 0%	0% but less than 3%	higher but less than 6%	higher but less than 9%	higher but less than	higher			
	The fourth quarter of 2007	5 (5.0%)	47 (47.0%)	35 (35.0%)	11 (11.0%)	2 (2.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	100	(100.0%)	
	The first quarter of 2008	0 (0.0%)	5 (5.0%)	36 (36.0%)	50 (50.0%)	7 (7.0%)	1 (1.0%)	1 (1.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	100	(100.0%)	
	The second quarter of 2008	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	13 (13.0%)	49 (49.0%)	28 (28.0%)	8 (8.0%)	2 (2.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	100	(100.0%)	
	The third quarter of 2008	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	22 (14.7%)	79 (52.7%)	43 (28.7%)	6 (4.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	150	(100.0%)	
	The fourth quarter of 2008	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.3%)	33 (22.0%)	74 (49.3%)	25 (16.7%)	12 (8.0%)	4 (2.7%)	150	(100.0%)	
	The first quarter of 2009	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.3%)	37 (24.7%)	67 (44.7%)	36 (24.0%)	4 (2.7%)	4 (2.7%)	150	(100.0%)	
	The second quarter of 2009	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (2.0%)	67 (44.7%)	55 (36.7%)	22 (14.7%)	3 (2.0%)	0 (0.0%)	150	(100.0%)	
	The third quarter of 2009	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (2.0%)	81 (54.0%)	53 (35.3%)	9 (6.0%)	3 (2.0%)	1 (0.7%)	150	(100.0%)	
	The fourth quarter of 2009	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.7%)	5 (3.3%)	88 (58.7%)	46 (30.7%)	9 (6.0%)	1 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	150	(100.0%)	
	The first quarter of 2010	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.7%)	1 (0.7%)	25 (16.7%)	86 (57.3%)	36 (24.0%)	1 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	150	(100.0%)	
	The second quarter of 2010	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.7%)	3 (2.0%)	41 (27.3%)	92 (61.3%)	13 (8.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	150	(100.0%)	
	The third quarter of 2010	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.7%)	1 (0.7%)	61 (40.7%)	82 (54.7%)	5 (3.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	150	(100.0%)	
	The fourth quarter of 2010	1 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	15 (10.0%)	54 (36.0%)	75 (50.0%)	4 (2.7%)	1 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	150	(100.0%)	
	The first quarter of 2011	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.4%)	46 (31.5%)	92 (63.0%)	5 (3.4%)	1 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	146	(100.0%)	Note
	The second quarter of 2011	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	7 (4.8%)	53 (36.3%)	85 (58.2%)	1 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	146	(100.0%)	Note
	The third quarter of 2011	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	11 (7.3%)	61 (40.7%)	78 (52.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	150	(100.0%)	
	The fourth quarter of 2011	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	16 (10.7%)	70 (46.7%)	63 (42.0%)	1 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	150	(100.0%)	
	The first quarter of 2012	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.7%)	21 (14.0%)	80 (53.3%)	48 (32.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	150	(100.0%)	Note
	The second quarter of 2012	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.7%)	32 (21.3%)	82 (54.7%)	35 (23.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	150	(100.0%)	
	The third quarter of 2012	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.7%)	33 (22.0%)	87 (58.0%)	29 (19.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	150	(100.0%)	
	The fourth quarter of 2012	0 (0.0%)	3 (2.0%)	48 (32.0%)	74 (49.3%)	25 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	150	(100.0%)	
All Areas	The first quarter of 2013	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.3%)	78 (52.0%)	51 (34.0%)	19 (12.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	150	(100.0%)	Note
1 III 1 II Gub	The second quarter of 2013	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.3%)	97 (64.7%)	41 (27.3%)	10 (6.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	150	(100.0%)	
	The third quarter of 2013	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.7%)	106 (70.7%)	34 (22.7%)	9 (6.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	150	(100.0%)	
	The fourth quarter of 2013	0 (0.0%)	3 (2.0%)	119 (79.3%)	22 (14.7%)	6 (4.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	150	(100.0%)	
	The first quarter of 2014	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.7%)	118 (78.7%)	27 (18.0%)	4 (2.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	150	. ,	Note
	The second quarter of 2014	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.3%)	118 (78.7%)	28 (18.7%)	2 (1.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	150	(100.0%)	
	The third quarter of 2014	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.3%)	122 (81.3%)	26 (17.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	150	(100.0%)	
	The fourth quarter of 2014	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.3%)	123 (82.0%)	25 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	150	(100.0%)	
	The first quarter of 2015	0 (0.0%)	2 (2.0%)	82 (82.0%)	16 (16.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	100		Note
	The second quarter of 2015	1 (1.0%)	6 (6.0%)	80 (80.0%)	13 (13.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	100	(100.0%)	
	The third quarter of 2015	1 (1.0%)	8 (8.0%)	78 (78.0%)	13 (13.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%)	100	(100.0%)	
	The fourth quarter of 2015	1 (1.0%)	15 (15.0%)	73 (73.0%)	11 (11.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0  (0.0%)	0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	• (•••••)	100 99	(100.0%)	NL
	The first quarter of 2016	2 (2.0%)	16 (16.2%)	71 (71.7%)	10 (10.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0.1)	0 (0.0.1)	0 (0.011)	100		Note
	The second quarter of 2016	3 (3.0%)	11 (11.0%)	74 (74.0%)	12 (12.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	. ()	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	100	(100.0%)	
	The third quarter of 2016	2 (2.0%) 0 (0.0%)	10 (10.0%)	70 (70.0%)	18 (18.0%)	0  (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0  (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & (0.0\%) \\ 0 & (0.0\%) \end{array}$		(100.0%)	
	The fourth quarter of 2016 The first quarter of 2017	0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%)	12 (12.0%) 10 (10.0%)	72 (72.0%)	16 (16.0%) 15 (15.0%)	0 (0.0%)		0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 & (0.0\%) \\ 0 & (0.0\%) \end{array} $	100 100	(100.0%)	
	The second quarter of 2017	0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%)	9 (9.0%)	75 (75.0%) 77 (77.0%)	15 (15.0%) 14 (14.0%)	0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%)	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & (0.0\%) \\ 0 & (0.0\%) \end{array}$	0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%)	100	(100.0%)	
	The second quarter of 2017 The third quarter of 2017	0 (0.0%)	9 (9.0%) 10 (10.0%)	76 (76.0%)	14 (14.0%) 14 (14.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	100	(100.0%)	
	The fourth quarter of 2017 The fourth quarter of 2017	0 (0.0%)	10 (10.0%)	75 (75.0%)	14 (14.0%) 11 (11.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	100	(100.0%)	
	The first quarter of 2017	0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%)	14 (14.0%)	75 (75.0%)	9 (9.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	100	(100.0%)	
	The second quarter of 2018	0 (0.0%)	13 (13.0%)	82 (82.0%)	5 (5.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	100	(100.0%)	
	The second quarter of 2018	0 (0.0%)	13 (13.0%) 15 (15.0%)	82 (82.0%) 81 (81.0%)	5 (5.0%) 4 (4.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	100	(100.0%)	
	The third quarter of 2018		15 (15.0%)	81 (81.0%)	4 (4.0%)	U (U.U70)	U (U.U70)	U (U.U70)	U (U.U70)	U (U.U70)	100	(100.070)	

#### Number of Districts (Residential and Commercial Combined) by Land Value Change (All Areas)

\* First Quarter = Jan. 1 - Apr. 1; Second Quarter = Apr. 1 - July 1; Third Quarter = July 1 - Oct. 1; and Fourth Quarter = Oct. 1 - Jan. 1.

\* Number of districts; its percentage in parentheses.

			Increase	•	Unchanged		·	Decrease				
	Quarter	60/	3% or	exceeding		exceeding	3% or	6% or	9% or	120/	Row T	Fotal
	Quarter	6% or higher	higher but	0% but less	➡ 0%	0% but less	higher but	higher but	higher but	12% or higher	Row 1	lotai
			less than 6%	than 3%		than 3%	less than 6%	less than 9%	less than	-		
	The fourth quarter of 2007	2 (4.7%)	24 (55.8%)	14 (32.6%)	3 (7.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		(100.0%)
	The first quarter of 2008	0 (0.0%)	5 (11.6%)	15 (34.9%)	20 (46.5%)	1 (2.3%)	1 (2.3%)	1 (2.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		(100.0%)
	The second quarter of 2008	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (9.3%)	25 (58.1%)	10 (23.3%)	3 (7.0%)	1 (2.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		(100.0%)
	The third quarter of 2008	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (6.2%)	47 (72.3%)	12 (18.5%)	2 (3.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		(100.0%)
	The fourth quarter of 2008	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	8 (12.3%)	45 (69.2%)	10 (15.4%)	2 (3.1%)	0 (0.0%)		(100.0%)
	The first quarter of 2009	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	10 (15.4%)	40 (61.5%)	15 (23.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		(100.0%)
	The second quarter of 2009	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.5%)	30 (46.2%)	25 (38.5%)	9 (13.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		(100.0%)
	The third quarter of 2009	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.5%)	38 (58.5%)	24 (36.9%)	2 (3.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		(100.0%)
	The fourth quarter of 2009	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.5%)	4 (6.2%)	38 (58.5%)	21 (32.3%)	1 (1.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		(100.0%)
	The first quarter of 2010	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.5%)	1 (1.5%)	16 (24.6%)	36 (55.4%)	11 (16.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		(100.0%)
	The second quarter of 2010	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.5%)	2 (3.1%)	20 (30.8%)	39 (60.0%)	3 (4.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		(100.0%)
	The third quarter of 2010	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.5%)	1 (1.5%)	29 (44.6%)	33 (50.8%)	1 (1.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		(100.0%)
	The fourth quarter of 2010	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (9.2%)	28 (43.1%)	31 (47.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		(100.0%)
	The first quarter of 2011	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	19 (29.7%)	42 (65.6%)	3 (4.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		(100.0%) N
	The second quarter of 2011	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.6%)	18 (28.1%)	45 (70.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		(100.0%) N
	The third quarter of 2011	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (3.1%)	25 (38.5%)	38 (58.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		(100.0%)
	The fourth quarter of 2011	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (4.6%)	32 (49.2%)	30 (46.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		(100.0%)
	The first quarter of 2012	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.5%)	6 (9.2%)	39 (60.0%)	19 (29.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		(100.0%) N
	The second quarter of 2012	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.5%)	11 (16.9%)	39 (60.0%)	14 (21.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	65	(100.0%)
	The third quarter of 2012	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.5%)	10 (15.4%)	41 (63.1%)	13 (20.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	65	(100.0%)
	The fourth quarter of 2012	0 (0.0%)	2 (3.1%)	14 (21.5%)	39 (60.0%)	10 (15.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		(100.0%)
Tokyo area	The first quarter of 2013	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.5%)	37 (56.9%)	20 (30.8%)	7 (10.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		(100.0%)
rokyo ureu	The second quarter of 2013	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	45 (69.2%)	16 (24.6%)	4 (6.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		(100.0%)
	The third quarter of 2013	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	46 (70.8%)	15 (23.1%)	4 (6.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		(100.0%)
	The fourth quarter of 2013	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.5%)	52 (80.0%)	9 (13.8%)	3 (4.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		(100.0%)
	The first quarter of 2014	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.5%)	48 (73.8%)	14 (21.5%)	2 (3.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	65	(100.0%) N
	The second quarter of 2014	0 (0.0%)	2 (3.1%)	51 (78.5%)	11 (16.9%)	1 (1.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	65	(100.0%)
	The third quarter of 2014	0 (0.0%)	2 (3.1%)	56 (86.2%)	7 (10.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	65	(100.0%)
	The fourth quarter of 2014	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.5%)	58 (89.2%)	6 (9.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	65	(100.0%)
	The first quarter of 2015	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.3%)	38 (88.4%)	4 (9.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	43	(100.0%) N
	The second quarter of 2015	0 (0.0%)	3 (7.0%)	38 (88.4%)	2 (4.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	43	(100.0%)
	The third quarter of 2015	0 (0.0%)	4 (9.3%)	37 (86.0%)	2 (4.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	43	(100.0%)
	The fourth quarter of 2015	0 (0.0%)	6 (14.0%)	35 (81.4%)	2 (4.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	43	(100.0%)
	The first quarter of 2016	0 (0.0%)	8 (18.6%)	33 (76.7%)	2 (4.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	43	(100.0%)
	The second quarter of 2016	1 (2.3%)	2 (4.7%)	36 (83.7%)	4 (9.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	43	(100.0%)
	The third quarter of 2016	0 (0.0%)	3 (7.0%)	30 (69.8%)	10 (23.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	43	(100.0%)
	The fourth quarter of 2016	0 (0.0%)	3 (7.0%)	30 (69.8%)	10 (23.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	43	(100.0%)
	The first quarter of 2017	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.3%)	32 (74.4%)	10 (23.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	43	(100.0%)
	The second quarter of 2017	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	33 (76.7%)	10 (23.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	43	(100.0%)
	The third quarter of 2017	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	33 (76.7%)	10 (23.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	43	(100.0%)
	The fourth quarter of 2017	0 (0.0%)	3 (7.0%)	32 (74.4%)	8 (18.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	43	(100.0%)
	The first quarter of 2018	0 (0.0%)	3 (7.0%)	33 (76.7%)	7 (16.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	43	(100.0%)
	The second quarter of 2018	0 (0.0%)	3 (7.0%)	36 (83.7%)	4 (9.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	43	(100.0%)
	The third quarter of 2018	0 (0.0%)	4 (9.3%)	37 (86.0%)	2 (4.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	43 (	(100.0%)

## Number of Districts (Residential and Commercial Combined) by Land Value Change (by Area(Tokyo area))

\* First Quarter = Jan. 1 - Apr. 1; Second Quarter = Apr. 1 - July 1; Third Quarter = July 1 - Oct. 1; and Fourth Quarter = Oct. 1 - Jan. 1.

\* Number of districts; its percentage in parentheses.

The foregrammer 27000         0         0.00000         3         0.120000         0         0.000000         0         0.000000         0         0.000000         0         0.000000         0         0.000000         0         0.000000         0         0.000000         0         0.0000000         0         0.00000000000         0         0.00000000000000000000000000000000000				Increase		Unchanged		2	Decrease	Al Ca(OSaka I			
The functioned 2008         0         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00         0.00 <th0< th=""><th></th><th>Quarter</th><th></th><th>higher but</th><th>0% but less</th><th>0%</th><th>0% but less</th><th>higher but</th><th>higher but</th><th>higher but</th><th></th><th>Row Total</th><th></th></th0<>		Quarter		higher but	0% but less	0%	0% but less	higher but	higher but	higher but		Row Total	
The scond quarter of 2008         0         0.0		The fourth quarter of 2007	0 (0.0%)	11 (42.3%)	6 (23.1%)	7 (26.9%)	2 (7.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	26 (100.0%)	
Definite strate 2208         0		The first quarter of 2008	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (23.1%)	15 (57.7%)	5 (19.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	26 (100.0%)	
Image: Process of the second		The second quarter of 2008		0 (0.0%)	- ( )	. ,		( )	( )	÷ ()	,		
The fore-grand part of 2009         0         (0.09)         0         (0.09)         11         (22.5%)         (16.44095)         (2.15%)         0         (0.09)         (39)         (000)           The ford quarter of 2000         0         (0.09)         0		The third quarter of 2008	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)					2 (5.1%)		,		
Image: Proceed general 2009         0        0         0         0 </td <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>. ()</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> <td></td> <td>( )</td> <td>. ()</td> <td>× /</td> <td>( /</td> <td>· · ·</td> <td>( /</td> <td></td> <td></td>		1	. ()	0 (0.0%)		( )	. ()	× /	( /	· · ·	( /		
The bad guester 2009         0         0.00%1         00			· ,	. ,	, ,		. ,				, ,	· · ·	_
Orbit         The funct guarter of 2000         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         15         (1.2.8)         16         (4.1.9%)         2         (5.1%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (100.0%)           The forcing under of 2010         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (100.0%)           The forcing under of 2010         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         31         (2.2.8)         (2.3.3%)         19         (8.4.7%)         2         (3.4.1%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (100.0%)           The forcing under of 2010         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         2         (5.1%)         11         (2.6.1%)         1         (2.6.1%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (100.0%)           The forcing under of 2010         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (													
The first-quarter of 2010         0         (00%)         0         (00%)         5         (12.%)         1         (2.8%)         0         (0.9%)         39         (100%)           The second quarter of 2010         0         (00%)         0         (00%)         0         (00%)         1         (2.8%)         22         (5.1%)         0         (00%)         0         (00%)         30         (100%)           The final quarter of 2010         0         (00%)         0         (00%)         5         (12.8%)         13         (3.3%)         19         (4.8%)         2         (4.1%)         0         (00%)         0         (00%)         30         (100%)           The final quarter of 2011         0         (00%)         0         (00%)         3         (10.8%)         12         (4.8%)         12         (4.8%)         0         (00%)         0         (00%)         30         (100%)           The final quarter of 2011         0         (00%)         0         (00%)         3         (100%)         0         (00%)         30         (100%)         10         (00%)         10         (00%)         10         (00%)         10         (00%)         10		1		,	,				. ,				
Instruction         The scond-quarter of 2010         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         11         (28.8%)         6         (15.4%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (10.0%)           The find quarter of 2010         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         18         (28.4%)         22         (5.1%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (10.0%)           The find quarter of 2010         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         2         (5.1%)         11         (28.4%)         22         (5.1%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (10.0%)           The field quarter of 2011         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         6         (15.4%)         12         (28.4%)         12         (28.4%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (10.0%)           The field quarter of 2011         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         16         (12.5%)         13         (33.3%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0<			( )	. ,	(	. ,	× /	× /	( )	. ()	÷ (*****)	· · · ·	_
The third quarter of 2010         0 <td></td> <td>*</td> <td>. ()</td> <td>ē ((0.0.1.)</td> <td></td> <td>- ( - )</td> <td>、 <i>/</i></td> <td>. (</td> <td>( )</td> <td>0 (0.0.1)</td> <td>. ()</td> <td>( )</td> <td>_</td>		*	. ()	ē ((0.0.1.)		- ( - )	、 <i>/</i>	. (	( )	0 (0.0.1)	. ()	( )	_
The fisuch quarter of 2010         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (1000)           The fisc quarter of 2011         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (1000)           The fisc quarter of 2011         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         3         (1000)           The fisc quarter of 2011         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         6         (1.5%)         22         (5.13%)         11         (22.8%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (1000)           The fisc quarter of 2011         0         (0.0%)         6         (1.5%)         22         (5.13%)         18         (3.33%)         0         0.0%)         0         0.0%)         39         (1000)           The fisc quarter of 2012         0         (0.0%)         6         (1.5%)         27         (642%)         5         (1.2%)         0         0.0%)         0         0.0%)         0         0.0%         0         0.0%)         0         0.0%		-	. ,		, ,				. ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	_
Phote squares of 2011         0         0.09%         2         (51%)         11         (2.8%)         12         (64.1%)         11         (2.6%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (100.0%)           The second quarter of 2011         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (100.0%)           The first quarter of 2011         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (100.0%)           The first quarter of 2012         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         8         (2.5%)         25         (6.4%)         5         (12.8%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0		1	, ,	,	1 /								_
The second quarter of 2011         0         0.09%         3         (7.7%)         19         (48.7%)         17         (43.6%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (100.0%)           The find quarter of 2011         0         (0.0%)         0         (		1	· ,	· · · ·	· · ·		· /		( )	( /	· · ·		_
The fluid quarter of 2011         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (100.0%)           The find quarter of 2011         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         5         (12.8%)         25         (64.1%)         8         (25.5%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (100.0%)           The find quarter of 2011         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (100.0%)           The find quarter of 2012         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         1         (2.6%)         2         (5.1%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (100.0%)           The find quarter of 2012         0         (0.0%)         1         (2.6%)         19         (4.8%)         2         (5.1%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%) <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>. ()</td><td>. ,</td><td>, ,</td><td></td><td>. ,</td><td></td><td>. ()</td><td>÷ ((*****)</td><td>÷ (*****)</td><td></td><td>_</td></td<>			. ()	. ,	, ,		. ,		. ()	÷ ((*****)	÷ (*****)		_
The fourth-quarter of 2011         0         0.00%         5         (1.2.8%)         2.3         (5.90%)         11         (28.2%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (100.0%)           The find quarter of 2012         0         (0.0%)         0 <t< td=""><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td> /</td><td> ,</td><td> ,</td><td></td><td>_</td></t<>		1							/	,	,		_
The first quarter of 2012         0         (0.0%)         6         (15.4%)         25         (64.1%)         8         (20.5%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (100.9%)           The scood quarter of 2012         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         10         (25.6%)         22         (66.7%)         5         (12.8%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (100.9%)           The find quarter of 2012         0         (0.0%)         1         (2.6%)         17         (43.0%)         19         (48.7%)         2         (5.1%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (100.9%)           The find quarter of 2013         0         (0.0%)         1         (2.6%)         24         (6.3%)         14         (43.5%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (100.9%)           The find quarter of 2013         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)		1	, ,					. ,	. ,	. ,		, , ,	_
Osaka area         The second quarter of 2012         0         0.0%/s         0         <		*	( )			· · · · ·		. ,	( )	· /	· · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Osaka area         The third quarter of 2012         0         0.09%         0         0.09%         10         (25.6%)         27         (69.2%)         2         (5.1%)         0         0.09%         0		1	. ()	ē ((0.0.1.)		· · · ·	. ,	. ()	( )	0 (0.0.1)	. ()	· · ·	Note 2
Osaka area         The fourth quarter of 2012         0         (0.0%)         1         (2.6%)         17         (43.6%)         19         (48.7%)         2         (5.1%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (100.9%)           The second quarter of 2013         0         (0.0%)         1         (2.6%)         24         (61.5%)         14         (25.9%)         0         (0.0%) <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>÷ ()</td> <td>÷ ()</td> <td>÷ ()</td> <td></td> <td>_</td>		1							÷ ()	÷ ()	÷ ()		_
Osaka area         The first quarter of 2013         0         0.0%         1         (2.6%)         15         (38.5%)         0         0.0%         0 <th0< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>, ,</td><td></td><td></td><td> /</td><td>. ,</td><td></td><td></td><td>÷ (··· )</td><td>÷ (· · · )</td><td></td><td>_</td></th0<>			, ,			/	. ,			÷ (··· )	÷ (· · · )		_
Osaka area         The second quarter of 2013         0         0.0%         1         (2.6%)         24         (61.5%)         14         (35.9%)         0         0.0%         0			. ,	. ,	. ( )	. ()	(- )	0 (0.0.1)	÷ ((*****)	, ,	, ,		_
The third quarter of 2013         0         0.00%         28         (71.8%)         11         (28.2%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (10.0%)           The fourth quarter of 2013         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         34         (87.2%)         5         (12.8%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (100.0%)           The first quarter of 2014         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         31         (79.5%)         8         (20.5%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (100.0%)           The first quarter of 2014         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         30         (76.9%)         8         (20.5%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (100.0%)           The fourth quarter of 2014         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         30         (76.9%)         8         (20.5%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%) </td <td>Osaka area</td> <td></td> <td>· ,</td> <td>. ,</td> <td>× /</td> <td>( )</td> <td>&lt; ,</td> <td>( )</td> <td>( )</td> <td>, ,</td> <td>· · · · · ·</td> <td>· · ·</td> <td>_</td>	Osaka area		· ,	. ,	× /	( )	< ,	( )	( )	, ,	· · · · · ·	· · ·	_
The fourth quarter of 2013         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         34         (87.2%)         5         (12.8%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (10.0%)           The first quarter of 2014         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         31         (79.5%)         8         (20.5%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (100.9%)           The second quarter of 2014         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         31         (79.5%)         8         (20.5%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (100.9%)           The fourth quarter of 2014         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         31         (79.5%)         8         (20.5%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         39         (100.9%)           The fourth quarter of 2014         0         (0.0%)         31         (79.5%)         8         (20.5%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)		1		. ,		. ,		÷ (::: )	/	/	,		_
The first quarter of 2014         0         0.0%         34         (87.2%)         5         (12.8%)         0         0.0%         0 <td></td> <td>X</td> <td>, ,</td> <td>. ,</td> <td>. ,</td> <td>. ,</td> <td>. ,</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>. ,</td> <td></td> <td>, , ,</td> <td>_</td>		X	, ,	. ,	. ,	. ,	. ,			. ,		, , ,	_
The second quarter of 2014         0         0.0%         31         (79.5%)         8         20.5%         0         0.0%         0         0.0%         0         0.0%         39         (100.9%)           The second quarter of 2014         0         0.0%         0         0.0%         30         (76.9%)         9         (23.1%)         0         0.0%         0         0.0%         0         0.0%         0         0.0%         39         (100.9%)           The fourth quarter of 2014         0         0.0%         0         0.0%         0         0.0%         0         0.0%         0         0.0%         39         (100.9%)           The fourth quarter of 2014         0         0.0%			. ()		× /	· · · ·	. (,	. ()	. ()	0 (0.0.1)	÷ (*****)	· · ·	_
The third quarter of 2014         0         0.00%         0		1	. ()	. ,	× /	`` /	. (,	. ()	( )	. ()	· · ·	· · · ·	_
The fourth quarter of 2014         0         0.0%         31         079.5%         8         20.5%         0         0.0%         0		1				· · · ·	. ,		/		, ,	, ,	_
The first quarter of 2015         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         22         (88.0%)         3         (12.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         2         (10.0%)         21         (84.0%)         3         (12.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         2         (10.0%)           The second quarter of 2015         0         (0.0%)         2         (8.0%)         3         (12.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         <		1		,	( )	/	/	÷ ()	÷ (··· )				_
The second quarter of 2015         0         0.00%         1         (4.0%)         21         (84.0%)         3         (12.0%)         0         (0.0%) <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>, ,</td><td>. ,</td><td></td><td>. ,</td><td>. ,</td><td>. ,</td><td>. ,</td><td>. ,</td><td></td><td></td><td>Note 8</td></th<>			, ,	. ,		. ,	. ,	. ,	. ,	. ,			Note 8
The third quarter of 2015         0         (0.0%)         2         (8.0%)         20         (80.0%)         3         (12.0%)         0         (0.0%) <th< td=""><td></td><td>*</td><td>( )</td><td></td><td>· · · ·</td><td>· · · ·</td><td>. ,</td><td>. ()</td><td>( )</td><td>· /</td><td>· · ·</td><td>· · · ·</td><td></td></th<>		*	( )		· · · ·	· · · ·	. ,	. ()	( )	· /	· · ·	· · · ·	
The fourth quarter of 2015         0         (0.0%)         4         (16.0%)         19         (76.0%)         2         (8.0%)         0         (0.0%) </td <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>. ,</td> <td>× /</td> <td>. ,</td> <td> ,</td> <td></td> <td> /</td> <td> ,</td> <td> ,</td> <td>- ( )</td> <td>-</td>		1		. ,	× /	. ,	,		/	,	,	- ( )	-
The first quarter of 2016         1         (4.0%)         3         (12.0%)         20         (80.0%)         1         (4.0%)         0         (0.0%) <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>. ,</td><td>. ,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0 (0.0%)</td><td></td><td>0 (0.0%)</td><td></td><td>_</td></th<>				. ,	. ,				0 (0.0%)		0 (0.0%)		_
The third quarter of 2016         1         (4.0%)         22         (88.0%)         1         (4.0%)         0         (0.0%)		The first quarter of 2016	1 (4.0%)	3 (12.0%)	20 (80.0%)	1 (4.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	25 (100.0%)	-
The fourth quarter of 2016         0         (0.0%)         2         (8.0%)         22         (88.0%)         1         (4.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         2         (0.0%)         25         (100.0%)           The fourth quarter of 2017         0         (0.0%)         2         (8.0%)         22         (88.0%)         1         (4.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         2         (0.0%)         25         (100.0%)           The first quarter of 2017         0         (0.0%)         2         (88.0%)         1         (4.0%)         0         (0.0%)		*				. ,	. ,		. ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	-
The first quarter of 2017         0         (0.0%)         2         (8.0%)         22         (88.0%)         1         (4.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         2         (0.0%)         25         (100.0%)           The first quarter of 2017         0         (0.0%)         2         (8.0%)         22         (88.0%)         1         (4.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         25         (100.0%)           The third quarter of 2017         0         (0.0%)         21         (84.0%)         1         (4.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         25         (100.0%)           The third quarter of 2017         0         (0.0%)         21         (84.0%)         1         (4.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         25         (100.0%)           The third quarter of 2017         0         (0.0%)         21         (84.0%)         1         (4.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)		The third quarter of 2016	1 (4.0%)	1 (4.0%)	22 (88.0%)	1 (4.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	25 (100.0%)	
The second quarter of 2017         0         (0.0%)         2         (8.0%)         22         (88.0%)         1         (4.0%)         0         (0.0%) <th< td=""><td></td><td>The fourth quarter of 2016</td><td>0 (0.0%)</td><td>2 (8.0%)</td><td>22 (88.0%)</td><td>1 (4.0%)</td><td>0 (0.0%)</td><td>0 (0.0%)</td><td>0 (0.0%)</td><td>0 (0.0%)</td><td>0 (0.0%)</td><td>25 (100.0%)</td><td>_</td></th<>		The fourth quarter of 2016	0 (0.0%)	2 (8.0%)	22 (88.0%)	1 (4.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	25 (100.0%)	_
The second quarter of 2017         0         (0.0%)         2         (8.0%)         22         (88.0%)         1         (4.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         2         (0.0%)         25         (100.0%)           The third quarter of 2017         0         (0.0%)         3         (12.0%)         21         (84.0%)         1         (4.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         25         (100.0%)		The first quarter of 2017	0 (0.0%)	2 (8.0%)	22 (88.0%)	1 (4.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	25 (100.0%)	1
The third quarter of 2017         0         (0.0%)         3         (12.0%)         21         (84.0%)         1         (4.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         25         (100.0%)		The second quarter of 2017	0 (0.0%)	2 (8.0%)	22 (88.0%)	1 (4.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	25 (100.0%)	
		The third quarter of 2017	0 (0.0%)	3 (12.0%)		1 (4.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 $		The fourth quarter of 2017	0 (0.0%)	3 (12.0%)	21 (84.0%)	1 (4.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	25 (100.0%)	
The first quarter of 2018         0         (0.0%)         4         (16.0%)         21         (84.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         25         (100.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         25         (100.0%)		The first quarter of 2018	0 (0.0%)	4 (16.0%)	21 (84.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	25 (100.0%)	
The second quarter of 2018         0         (0.0%)         4         (16.0%)         21         (84.0%)         0         (0.0%) </td <td>  .</td> <td>The second quarter of 2018</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> <td>4 (16.0%)</td> <td>21 (84.0%)</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> <td>0 (0.0%)</td> <td>25 (100.0%)</td> <td>].</td>	.	The second quarter of 2018	0 (0.0%)	4 (16.0%)	21 (84.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	25 (100.0%)	].
The third quarter of 2018         0         (0.0%)         4         (16.0%)         21         (84.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         0         (0.0%)         25         (100.0%)		The third quarter of 2018	0 (0.0%)	4 (16.0%)	21 (84.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	25 (100.0%)	

## Number of Districts (Residential and Commercial Combined) by Land Value Change (by Area(Osaka area))

\* First Quarter = Jan. 1 - Apr. 1; Second Quarter = Apr. 1 - July 1; Third Quarter = July 1 - Oct. 1; and Fourth Quarter = Oct. 1 - Jan. 1.

\* Number of districts; its percentage in parentheses.

			Increase		Unchanged		2	Decrease	, a ca (nago)a			
	Quarter	<b>6%</b> or	3% or	exceeding		exceeding	3% or	6% or	9% or	12% or	Row Total	
	<b>C</b>	higher	higher but less than 6%	0% but less than 3%		0% but less than 3%	higher but less than 6%	higher but less than 9%	higher but less than	higher		
	The fourth quarter of 2007	0 (0.0%)	6 (54.5%)	5 (45.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	11 (100.0%	)
	The first quarter of 2008	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (18.2%)	8 (72.7%)	1 (9.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	11 (100.0%	/
	The second quarter of 2008	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (9.1%)	7 (63.6%)	3 (27.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	11 (100.0%	/
	The third quarter of 2008	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (7.1%)	3 (21.4%)	8 (57.1%)	2 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (100.0%	/
	The fourth quarter of 2008	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (21.4%)	6 (42.9%)	2 (14.3%)	3 (21.4%)	14 (100.0%	/
	The first quarter of 2009	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (7.1%)	5 (35.7%)	2 (14.3%)	2 (14.3%)	4 (28.6%)	14 (100.0%	<i>,</i>
	The second quarter of 2009	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (14.3%)	5 (35.7%)	4 (28.6%)	3 (21.4%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (100.0%	/
	The third quarter of 2009	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (21.4%)	3 (21.4%)	4 (28.6%)	3 (21.4%)	1 (7.1%)	14 (100.0%	/
	The fourth quarter of 2009	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (28.6%)	5 (35.7%)	4 (28.6%)	1 (7.1%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (100.0%	/
	The first quarter of 2010	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	8 (57.1%)	6 (42.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (100.0%	/
	The second quarter of 2010	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (28.6%)	9 (64.3%)	1 (7.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (100.0%	/
	The third quarter of 2010	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (42.9%)	7 (50.0%)	1 (7.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (100.0%	,
	The fourth quarter of 2010	1 (7.1%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (21.4%)	5 (35.7%)	5 (35.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (100.0%	·
	The first quarter of 2011	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	7 (50.0%)	7 (50.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (100.0%	/
	The second quarter of 2011	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	8 (57.1%)	6 (42.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (100.0%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	The third quarter of 2011	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (7.1%)	8 (57.1%)	5 (35.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (100.0%	,
	The fourth quarter of 2011	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (35.7%)	3 (21.4%)	6 (42.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (100.0%	)
	The first quarter of 2012	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (28.6%)	4 (28.6%)	6 (42.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (100.0%	) Note
	The second quarter of 2012	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (42.9%)	4 (28.6%)	4 (28.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (100.0%	)
	The third quarter of 2012	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (42.9%)	4 (28.6%)	4 (28.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (100.0%	)
	The fourth quarter of 2012	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	7 (50.0%)	5 (35.7%)	2 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (100.0%	)
<b>N</b> 7	The first quarter of 2013	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	7 (50.0%)	7 (50.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (100.0%	)
Nagoya area	The second quarter of 2013	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (100.0%	)
	The third quarter of 2013	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (100.0%	)
	The fourth quarter of 2013	0 (0.0%)	2 (14.3%)	12 (85.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (100.0%	)
	The first quarter of 2014	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (100.0%	)
	The second quarter of 2014	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (100.0%	)
	The third quarter of 2014	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (100.0%	)
	The fourth quarter of 2014	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (100.0%	)
	The first quarter of 2015	0 (0.0%)	1 (11.1%)	8 (88.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (100.0%	) Note
	The second quarter of 2015	1 (11.1%)	1 (11.1%)	7 (77.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (100.0%	)
	The third quarter of 2015	1 (11.1%)	1 (11.1%)	7 (77.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (100.0%	)
	The fourth quarter of 2015	1 (11.1%)	1 (11.1%)	7 (77.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (100.0%	)
	The first quarter of 2016	1 (11.1%)	1 (11.1%)	7 (77.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (100.0%	)
	The second quarter of 2016	1 (11.1%)	1 (11.1%)	7 (77.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (100.0%	)
	The third quarter of 2016	1 (11.1%)	1 (11.1%)	7 (77.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (100.0%	)
	The fourth quarter of 2016	0 (0.0%)	2 (22.2%)	7 (77.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (100.0%	)
	The first quarter of 2017	0 (0.0%)	2 (22.2%)	7 (77.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (100.0%	)
	The second quarter of 2017	0 (0.0%)	2 (22.2%)	7 (77.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (100.0%	)
	The third quarter of 2017	0 (0.0%)	2 (22.2%)	7 (77.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (100.0%	)
	The fourth quarter of 2017	0 (0.0%)	4 (44.4%)	5 (55.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (100.0%	)
	The first quarter of 2018	0 (0.0%)	4 (44.4%)	5 (55.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (100.0%	)
	The second quarter of 2018	0 (0.0%)	3 (33.3%)	6 (66.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (100.0%	)
	The third quarter of 2018	0 (0.0%)	3 (33.3%)	6 (66.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (100.0%	

#### Number of Districts (Residential and Commercial Combined) by Land Value Change (by Area(Nagoya area))

\* First Quarter = Jan. 1 - Apr. 1; Second Quarter = Apr. 1 - July 1; Third Quarter = July 1 - Oct. 1; and Fourth Quarter = Oct. 1 - Jan. 1.

\* Number of districts; its percentage in parentheses.

			Increase		Unchanged			Decrease		saka ana nag	<b>,</b> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	Quarter	<b>6%</b> or	3% or	exceeding		exceeding	3% or	6% or	9% or	12% or	Row Total	
		higher	higher but less than 6%	0% but less than 3%	➡ 0%	0% but less than 3%	higher but less than 6%	higher but less than 9%	higher but less than	higher		
	The fourth quarter of 2007	3 (15.0%)	6 (30.0%)	10 (50.0%)	1 (5.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	20 (100.0%)	
	The first quarter of 2008	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	13 (65.0%)	7 (35.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	20 (100.0%)	
	The second quarter of 2008	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (30.0%)	11 (55.0%)	2 (10.0%)	1 (5.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	20 (100.0%)	
	The third quarter of 2008	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (43.8%)	14 (43.8%)	4 (12.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	
	The fourth quarter of 2008	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (6.3%)	15 (46.9%)	9 (28.1%)	1 (3.1%)	4 (12.5%)	1 (3.1%)	32 (100.0%)	
	The first quarter of 2009	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (6.3%)	16 (50.0%)	11 (34.4%)	3 (9.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	
	The second quarter of 2009	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (6.3%)	19 (59.4%)	9 (28.1%)	2 (6.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	
	The third quarter of 2009	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (6.3%)	20 (62.5%)	9 (28.1%)	1 (3.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	
	The fourth quarter of 2009	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (3.1%)	25 (78.1%)	4 (12.5%)	2 (6.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	
	The first quarter of 2010	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (12.5%)	24 (75.0%)	4 (12.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	
	The second quarter of 2010	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (3.1%)	6 (18.8%)	22 (68.8%)	3 (9.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	
	The third quarter of 2010	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	8 (25.0%)	22 (68.8%)	2 (6.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	
	The fourth quarter of 2010	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (3.1%)	8 (25.0%)	20 (62.5%)	2 (6.3%)	1 (3.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	
	The first quarter of 2011	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (31.0%)	18 (62.1%)	1 (3.4%)	1 (3.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	29 (100.0%)	Note 1
	The second quarter of 2011	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (10.3%)	8 (27.6%)	17 (58.6%)	1 (3.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	29 (100.0%)	Note 1
	The third quarter of 2011	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (6.3%)	8 (25.0%)	22 (68.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	
	The fourth quarter of 2011	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (9.4%)	12 (37.5%)	16 (50.0%)	1 (3.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	
	The first quarter of 2012	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (15.6%)	12 (37.5%)	15 (46.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	Note 2
	The second quarter of 2012	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	7 (21.9%)	13 (40.6%)	12 (37.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	
	The third quarter of 2012	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	7 (21.9%)	15 (46.9%)	10 (31.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	
areas other	The fourth quarter of 2012	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	10 (31.3%)	11 (34.4%)	11 (34.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	
than Tokyo,	The first quarter of 2013	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	11 (34.4%)	9 (28.1%)	12 (37.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	Note 3
Osaka and	The second quarter of 2013	0 (0.0%)	1 (3.1%)	14 (43.8%)	11 (34.4%)	6 (18.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	
Nagoya areas	The third quarter of 2013	0 (0.0%)	1 (3.1%)	18 (56.3%)	8 (25.0%)	5 (15.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	
	The fourth quarter of 2013	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	21 (65.6%)	8 (25.0%)	3 (9.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	
	The first quarter of 2014	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	22 (68.8%)	8 (25.0%)	2 (6.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	
	The second quarter of 2014	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	22 (68.8%)	9 (28.1%)	1 (3.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	
	The third quarter of 2014	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	22 (68.8%)	10 (31.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	
	The fourth quarter of 2014	0 (0.0%)	1 (3.1%)	20 (62.5%)	11 (34.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	
	The first quarter of 2015	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	14 (60.9%)	9 (39.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		Note 1
	The second quarter of 2015	0 (0.0%)	1 (4.3%)	14 (60.9%)	8 (34.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	23 (100.0%)	
	The third quarter of 2015	0 (0.0%)	1 (4.3%)	14 (60.9%)	8 (34.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	23 (100.0%)	
	The fourth quarter of 2015	0 (0.0%)	4 (17.4%)	12 (52.2%)	7 (30.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	23 (100.0%)	
	The first quarter of 2016	0 (0.0%)	4 (18.2%)	11 (50.0%)	7 (31.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	22 (100.0%)	Note 6
	The second quarter of 2016	0 (0.0%)	5 (21.7%)	11 (47.8%)	7 (30.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	23 (100.0%)	
	The third quarter of 2016	0 (0.0%)	5 (21.7%)	11 (47.8%)	7 (30.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	23 (100.0%)	
	The fourth quarter of 2016	0 (0.0%)	5 (21.7%)	13 (56.5%)	5 (21.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0  (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	23 (100.0%)	
	The first quarter of 2017	0 (0.0%)	5 (21.7%)	14 (60.9%)	4 (17.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0  (0.0%)	$\begin{array}{c} 23 & (100.0\%) \\ \hline 23 & (100.0\%) \\ \end{array}$	
	The second quarter of 2017	0 (0.0%)	5 (21.7%)	15 (65.2%)	3 (13.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0  (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	. ,	
	The third quarter of 2017 The fourth quarter of 2017	0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%)	5 (21.7%) 4 (17.4%)	15 (65.2%) 17 (73.9%)	3 (13.0%) 2 (8.7%)	0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%)	23 (100.0%) 23 (100.0%)	
	The first quarter of 2017	0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%)	4 (17.4%)	17 (73.9%)	2 (8.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%)	23 (100.0%)	
	The second quarter of 2018	0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%)	4 (17.4%) 3 (13.0%)	17 (73.9%)	$\frac{2}{1}$ (8.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%)	23 (100.0%)	
	The second quarter of 2018	0 (0.0%)	<u> </u>	19 (82.6%) 17 (73.9%)	2 (8.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	23 (100.0%) 23 (100.0%)	
	The third quarter of 2018		4 (17.4%)	1/ (/3.9%)	$\frac{2}{1}$ (8.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	23 (100.0%)	

#### Number of Districts (Residential and Commercial Combined) by Land Value Change (by Area(areas other than Tokyo, Osaka and Nagoya areas))

\* First Quarter = Jan. 1 - Apr. 1; Second Quarter = Apr. 1 - July 1; Third Quarter = July 1 - Oct. 1; and Fourth Quarter = Oct. 1 - Jan. 1.

\* Number of districts; its percentage in parentheses.

			Increase		Unchanged			Decrease				٦
	Quarter	<b>6%</b> or	3% or	exceeding	, č	exceeding	3% or	6% or	9% or	12% or	Row Total	
	<b>X</b>	higher	higher but less than 6%	0% but less		0% but less than 3%	higher but less than 6%	higher but less than 9%	higher but less than	higher		
	The fourth quarter of 2007	0 (0.0%)	6 (18.8%)	than 3%	3 (9.4%)	2 (6.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	_
	The first quarter of 2008	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (15.6%)	23 (71.9%)	3 (9.4%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (3.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	
	The second quarter of 2008	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (6.3%)	13 (40.6%)	13 (40.6%)	3 (9.4%)	1 (3.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	
	The third quarter of 2008	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (11.9%)	25 (59.5%)	10 (23.8%)	2 (4.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	42 (100.0%)	
	The fourth quarter of 2008	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	15 (35.7%)	20 (47.6%)	5 (11.9%)	2 (4.8%)	0 (0.0%)	42 (100.0%)	
	The first quarter of 2009	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	16 (38.1%)	22 (52.4%)	4 (9.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	42 (100.0%)	
	The second quarter of 2009	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	26 (61.9%)	15 (35.7%)	1 (2.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	42 (100.0%)	
	The third quarter of 2009	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	34 (81.0%)	7 (16.7%)	1 (2.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	42 (100.0%)	
	The fourth quarter of 2009	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (4.8%)	35 (83.3%)	5 (11.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	42 (100.0%)	,
	The first quarter of 2010	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.4%)	0 (0.0%)	11 (26.2%)	28 (66.7%)	2 (4.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	42 (100.0%)	,
	The second quarter of 2010	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.4%)	1 (2.4%)	22 (52.4%)	18 (42.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	42 (100.0%)	
	The third quarter of 2010	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.4%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (76.2%)	9 (21.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	42 (100.0%)	
	The fourth quarter of 2010	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	11 (26.2%)	24 (57.1%)	7 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	42 (100.0%)	)
	The first quarter of 2011	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (5.0%)	22 (55.0%)	15 (37.5%)	1 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	40 (100.0%)	
	The second quarter of 2011	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (10.0%)	23 (57.5%)	13 (32.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	40 (100.0%)	) Note
	The third quarter of 2011	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (11.9%)	23 (54.8%)	14 (33.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	42 (100.0%)	
	The fourth quarter of 2011	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (21.4%)	22 (52.4%)	11 (26.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	42 (100.0%)	)
	The first quarter of 2012	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (20.5%)	28 (63.6%)	7 (15.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	44 (100.0%)	) Note
	The second quarter of 2012	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	15 (34.1%)	25 (56.8%)	4 (9.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	44 (100.0%)	,
	The third quarter of 2012	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	16 (36.4%)	24 (54.5%)	4 (9.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	44 (100.0%)	,
	The fourth quarter of 2012	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	20 (45.5%)	21 (47.7%)	3 (6.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	44 (100.0%)	,
Residential	The first quarter of 2013	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	26 (59.1%)	15 (34.1%)	3 (6.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	44 (100.0%)	,
district	The second quarter of 2013	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.3%)	30 (68.2%)	11 (25.0%)	2 (4.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	44 (100.0%)	,
	The third quarter of 2013	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.3%)	34 (77.3%)	7 (15.9%)	2 (4.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	44 (100.0%)	,
	The fourth quarter of 2013	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	37 (84.1%)	6 (13.6%)	1 (2.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	44 (100.0%)	,
	The first quarter of 2014	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	33 (75.0%)	10 (22.7%)	1 (2.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	44 (100.0%)	) Note
	The second quarter of 2014	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	33 (75.0%)	11 (25.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	44 (100.0%)	,
	The third quarter of 2014	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	35 (79.5%)	9 (20.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	44 (100.0%)	,
	The fourth quarter of 2014	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.3%)	34 (77.3%)	9 (20.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	44 (100.0%)	,
	The first quarter of 2015	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	26 (81.3%)	6 (18.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	) Note
	The second quarter of 2015	0 (0.0%)	1 (3.1%)	25 (78.1%)	6 (18.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	,
	The third quarter of 2015	0 (0.0%)	1 (3.1%)	25 (78.1%)	6 (18.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	1
	The fourth quarter of 2015	0 (0.0%)	2 (6.3%)	25 (78.1%)	5 (15.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	,
	The first quarter of 2016	0 (0.0%)	2 (6.3%)	26 (81.3%)	4 (12.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	1
	The second quarter of 2016	0 (0.0%)	1 (3.1%)	28 (87.5%)	3 (9.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	,
	The third quarter of 2016	0 (0.0%)	1 (3.1%)	22 (68.8%)	9 (28.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	)
	The fourth quarter of 2016	0 (0.0%)	1 (3.1%)	21 (65.6%)	10 (31.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	)
	The first quarter of 2017	0 (0.0%)	1 (3.1%)	21 (65.6%)	10 (31.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	)
	The second quarter of 2017	0 (0.0%)	1 (3.1%)	21 (65.6%)	10 (31.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	)
	The third quarter of 2017	0 (0.0%)	1 (3.1%)	21 (65.6%)	10 (31.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	)
	The fourth quarter of 2017	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	24 (75.0%)	8 (25.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	)
	The first quarter of 2018	0 (0.0%)	1 (3.1%)	25 (78.1%)	6 (18.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	)
	The second quarter of 2018	0 (0.0%)	1 (3.1%)	28 (87.5%)	3 (9.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	)
	The third quarter of 2018	0 (0.0%)	1 (3.1%)	30 (93.8%)	1 (3.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	32 (100.0%)	

## Number of Districts (All Areas) by Land Value Change(by Use(Residential district))

\* First Quarter = Jan. 1 - Apr. 1; Second Quarter = Apr. 1 - July 1; Third Quarter = July 1 - Oct. 1; and Fourth Quarter = Oct. 1 - Jan. 1.

\* Number of districts; its percentage in parentheses.

			Increase		Unchanged		<u> </u>	Decrease				1
	Quarter	60/	3% or	exceeding	0	exceeding	3% or	6% or	9% or	120/	Row Total	
	Quarter	6% or higher	higher but	0% but less	0%	0% but less	higher but	higher but	higher but	12% or higher	itow iouu	
		-	less than 6%	than 3%		than 3%	less than 6%	less than 9%	less than	÷		-
	The fourth quarter of 2007	5 (7.4%)	41 (60.3%)	14 (20.6%)	8 (11.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	68 (100.0%)	-
	The first quarter of 2008	0 (0.0%)	5 (7.4%)	31 (45.6%)	27 (39.7%)	4 (5.9%)	1 (1.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	68 (100.0%)	_
	The second quarter of 2008	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	11 (16.2%)	36 (52.9%)	15 (22.1%)	5 (7.4%)	1 (1.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	68 (100.0%)	
	The third quarter of 2008	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	17 (15.7%)	54 (50.0%)	33 (30.6%)	4 (3.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	108 (100.0%)	_
	The fourth quarter of 2008	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.9%)	18 (16.7%)	54 (50.0%)	20 (18.5%)	10 (9.3%)	4 (3.7%)	108 (100.0%)	
	The first quarter of 2009	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.9%)	21 (19.4%)	45 (41.7%)	32 (29.6%)	4 (3.7%)	4 (3.7%)	108 (100.0%)	
	The second quarter of 2009	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (2.8%)	41 (38.0%)	40 (37.0%)	21 (19.4%)	3 (2.8%)	0 (0.0%)	108 (100.0%)	
	The third quarter of 2009	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (2.8%)	47 (43.5%)	46 (42.6%)	8 (7.4%)	3 (2.8%)	1 (0.9%)	108 (100.0%)	
	The fourth quarter of 2009	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.9%)	3 (2.8%)	53 (49.1%)	41 (38.0%)	9 (8.3%)	1 (0.9%)	0 (0.0%)	108 (100.0%)	
	The first quarter of 2010	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.9%)	14 (13.0%)	58 (53.7%)	34 (31.5%)	1 (0.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	108 (100.0%)	1
	The second quarter of 2010	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.9%)	19 (17.6%)	74 (68.5%)	13 (12.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	108 (100.0%)	
	The third quarter of 2010	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.9%)	29 (26.9%)	73 (67.6%)	5 (4.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	108 (100.0%)	1
	The fourth quarter of 2010	1 (0.9%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (3.7%)	30 (27.8%)	68 (63.0%)	4 (3.7%)	1 (0.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	108 (100.0%)	1
	The first quarter of 2011	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	24 (22.6%)	77 (72.6%)	4 (3.8%)	1 (0.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	106 (100.0%)	Note 1
	The second quarter of 2011	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (2.8%)	30 (28.3%)	72 (67.9%)	1 (0.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	106 (100.0%)	Note 1
	The third quarter of 2011	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	6 (5.6%)	38 (35.2%)	64 (59.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	108 (100.0%)	
	The fourth quarter of 2011	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	7 (6.5%)	48 (44.4%)	52 (48.1%)	1 (0.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	108 (100.0%)	
	The first quarter of 2012	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.9%)	12 (11.3%)	52 (49.1%)	41 (38.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	106 (100.0%)	Note 2
	The second quarter of 2012	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.9%)	17 (16.0%)	57 (53.8%)	31 (29.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	106 (100.0%)	
	The third quarter of 2012	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.9%)	17 (16.0%)	63 (59.4%)	25 (23.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	106 (100.0%)	
	The fourth quarter of 2012	0 (0.0%)	3 (2.8%)	28 (26.4%)	53 (50.0%)	22 (20.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	106 (100.0%)	
Commercial	The first quarter of 2013	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.9%)	52 (49.1%)	36 (34.0%)	16 (15.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	106 (100.0%)	Note 3
districts	The second quarter of 2013	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.9%)	67 (63.2%)	30 (28.3%)	8 (7.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	106 (100.0%)	
uistirets	The third quarter of 2013	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	72 (67.9%)	27 (25.5%)	7 (6.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	106 (100.0%)	-
	The fourth quarter of 2013	0 (0.0%)	3 (2.8%)	82 (77.4%)	16 (15.1%)	5 (4.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	106 (100.0%)	-
	The first quarter of 2014	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.9%)	85 (80.2%)	17 (16.0%)	3 (2.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	106 (100.0%)	Note
	The second quarter of 2014	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.9%)	85 (80.2%)	17 (16.0%)	2 (1.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	106 (100.0%)	11010
	The third quarter of 2014	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.9%)	87 (82.1%)	17 (16.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	106 (100.0%)	-
	The fourth quarter of 2014	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.9%)	89 (84.0%)	16 (15.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	106 (100.0%)	-
	The first quarter of 2015	0 (0.0%)	2 (2.9%)	56 (82.4%)	10 (14.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	68 (100.0%)	Note
	The second quarter of 2015	1 (1.5%)	5 (7.4%)	55 (80.9%)	7 (10.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	68 (100.0%)	none
	The third quarter of 2015	1 (1.5%)	7 (10.3%)	53 (77.9%)	7 (10.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	68 (100.0%)	-
	The fourth quarter of 2015	1 (1.5%)	13 (19.1%)	48 (70.6%)	6 (8.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	68 (100.0%)	-
	The first quarter of 2016	2 (3.0%)	13 (19.1%) 14 (20.9%)	48 (70.8%)	6 (9.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	67 (100.0%)	Note 6
	The second quarter of 2016	3 (4.4%)	10 (14.7%)	46 (67.6%)	9 (13.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	68 (100.0%)	i vole (
	The second quarter of 2016 The third quarter of 2016	2 (2.9%)	9 (13.2%)	48 (70.6%)	9 (13.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & (0.0\%) \\ 0 & (0.0\%) \end{array}$	68 (100.0%)	1
	The fourth quarter of 2016	0 (0.0%)	9 (13.2%) 11 (16.2%)	51 (75.0%)	6 (8.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	$\begin{array}{c} 0 & (0.0\%) \\ 0 & (0.0\%) \end{array}$	68 (100.0%)	-
	The first quarter of 2017	0 (0.0%)	9 (13.2%)	51 (75.0%)	5 (7.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%)	68 (100.0%)	-
	The first quarter of 2017 The second quarter of 2017	0 (0.0%)	9 (13.2%) 8 (11.8%)		<u> </u>	0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%)	, ,	0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%)	68 (100.0%)	-
	1		- ( )	56 (82.4%)		÷ (:: )	/		÷ ()		. ,	-
	The third quarter of 2017	0 (0.0%)	9 (13.2%)	55 (80.9%)	4 (5.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	, ,	-
	The fourth quarter of 2017	0 (0.0%)	14 (20.6%)	51 (75.0%)	3 (4.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	68 (100.0%)	-
	The first quarter of 2018	0 (0.0%)	14 (20.6%)	51 (75.0%)	3 (4.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	68 (100.0%)	-
	The second quarter of 2018	0 (0.0%)	12 (17.6%)	54 (79.4%)	2 (2.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	68 (100.0%)	
	The third quarter of 2018		14 (20.6%)	51 (75.0%)	3 (4.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	68 (100.0%)	

#### Number of Districts (All Areas) by Land Value Change(by Use(Commercial districts))

\* First Quarter = Jan. 1 - Apr. 1; Second Quarter = Apr. 1 - July 1; Third Quarter = July 1 - Oct. 1; and Fourth Quarter = Oct. 1 - Jan. 1.

\* Number of districts; its percentage in parentheses.
\* A pink cell indicates the largest number in each area/total for each quarter; and an orange cell indicates the second largest number.

#### Notes to the Number of Districts Tables

Note 1: We did not survey 4 districts (3 in Sendai City and 1 in Urayasu City).

- Note 2: We changed 7 monitored districts (3 in Tokyo Metro Area, 1 in Osaka Metro, and 3 outside the three largest metro areas) and regrouped 1 district in Nagoya Metro from commercial to residential. As a result, we surveyed 2 less commercial and 2 more residential districts compared to the prior report.
- Note 3: We replaced 1 commercial district with a new district outside the three major metropolitan areas.
- Note 4: We switched 1 commercial district and 1 residential district with 2 new districts in Tokyo Metro.
- Note 5: We removed 50 districts (38 commercial districts and 12 residential districts) from the survey.
- Note 6: We replaced 1 commercial district with a new district outside the three major metropolitan areas. We did not survey 1 district (Around Shimotori in Kumamoto City).
- Note 7: We removed 22 districts (16 commercial districts and 6 residential districts) from the survey.
- Note 8: We removed 14 districts (10 commercial districts and 4 residential districts) from the survey.
- Note 9: We removed 5 districts (4 commercial districts and 1 residential district) from the survey.
- Note 10: We removed 9 districts (8 commercial districts and 1 residential district) from the survey.
- Note 11: We removed 12 residential districts from the survey.
- Note 12: We removed 38 commercial districts from the survey.

- 11 -


# Land Value Change Trend of Each District


Prefecture	City	Administration	Classification	District	Area	Overall Evaluation (from October 1, 2017 to January 1, 2018)	Overall Evaluation (from January 1 to April 1, 2018)	Overall Evaluation (from April 1 to July 1, 2018)	Overall Evaluation (from July 1 to October 1, 2018)
Hokkaido	Sapporo	Chuo Ward	Residential	Miyanomori	areas other than Tokyo, Osaka and Nagoya areas				
Поккано	Sapporo	Chuo Ward	Commercial	Ekimaedori	areas other than Tokyo, Osaka and Nagoya areas	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	~
Iwate	Morioka		Commercial	Near Morioka Station	areas other than Tokyo, Osaka and Nagoya areas	Ť	Î	1	1
Miyagi	Sendai	Aoba Ward	Residential	Nishikicho	areas other than Tokyo, Osaka and Nagoya areas		1	1	
wiiyagi	Sendai	Aoba Ward	Commercial	Chuo Icchome	areas other than Tokyo, Osaka and Nagoya areas	$\checkmark$	1	1	
Fukushima	Koriyama		Commercial	Near Koriyama Station	areas other than Tokyo, Osaka and Nagoya areas		1	1	1
	Saitama	Chuo Ward	Residential	Shintoshin	Tokyo area		1	1	
Saitama	Saitama	Omiya Ward	Commercial	Omiya Station, West Exit	Tokyo area		1	1	
Sanama	Saitama	Urawa Ward	Commercial	Near Urawa Station	Tokyo area			1	
	Tokorozawa		Commercial	Tokorozawa Station, West Exit	Tokyo area		1	1	
	Chiba	Chuo Ward	Commercial	In front of Chiba Station	Tokyo area		1	1	
	Chiba	Mihama Ward	Commercial	Kaihinmakuhari	Tokyo area		1	1	
Chiba	Urayasu		Residential	Shin-urayasu	Tokyo area		1	1	
	Funabashi		Commercial	Near Funabashi Station	Tokyo area		1	1	
	Kashiwa		Residential	Kashiwanoha	Tokyo area		1	1	
	Ward	Chiyoda Ward	Residential	Bancho	Tokyo area		<b></b>	1	
Tokyo	Ward	Chiyoda Ward	Commercial	Marunouchi	Tokyo area		1	1	
токуо	Ward	Chiyoda Ward	Commercial	Yurakucho/Hibiya	Tokyo area		1	1	
	Ward	Chuo Ward	Residential	Tsukuda/Tsukishima	Tokyo area	<b>→</b>	<b>→</b>	1	


Prefecture	City	Administration	Classification	District	Area	Overall Evaluation (from October 1, 2017 to January 1, 2018)	Overall Evaluation (from January 1 to April 1, 2018)	Overall Evaluation (from April 1 to July 1, 2018)	Overall Evaluation (from July 1 to October 1, 2018)
	Ward	Chuo Ward	Commercial	Ginza Chuo	Tokyo area		1		➡
	Ward	Chuo Ward	Commercial	Yaesu	Tokyo area		1		1
	Ward	Chuo Ward	Commercial	Nihonbashi	Tokyo area		1		
	Ward	Minato Ward	Residential	Minamiaoyama	Tokyo area		1		
	Ward	Minato Ward	Commercial	Roppongi	Tokyo area		1		
	Ward	Minato Ward	Commercial	Akasaka	Tokyo area		1		
	Ward	Minato Ward	Commercial	Toranomon	Tokyo area		1		
	Ward	Shinjuku Ward	Commercial	Shinjuku San-chome	Tokyo area		1		>
	Ward	Shinjuku Ward	Commercial	Kabukicho	Tokyo area		1		~
	Ward	Shibuya Ward	Commercial	Shibuya	Tokyo area	~	$\checkmark$	$\sim$	>
	Ward	Shibuya Ward	Commercial	Omotesando	Tokyo area	~	$\checkmark$	~	
Tokyo	Ward	Toshima Ward	Commercial	Ikebukuro, East Exit	Tokyo area		1		
	Ward	Taito Ward	Commercial	Ueno	Tokyo area		1		
	Ward	Shinagawa Ward	Residential	Shinagawa	Tokyo area	<b></b>	Ì	$\Rightarrow$	
	Ward	Minato Ward	Commercial	Near Shinagawa Station, East Exit	Tokyo area		1		
	Ward	Koto Ward	Residential	Toyosu	Tokyo area	<b></b>	┢	$\Rightarrow$	
	Ward	Koto Ward	Residential	Ariake	Tokyo area		1		
	Ward	Koto Ward	Commercial	Aomi/Daiba	Tokyo area	<b></b>	1		
	Ward	Setagaya Ward	Residential	Futakotamagawa	Tokyo area		1		
	Ward	Nakano Ward	Commercial	Near Nakano Station	Tokyo area		1		
	Tama Area	Musashino City	Residential	Kichijoji	Tokyo area	<b></b>	┢		
	Tama Area	Tachikawa City	Residential	Tachikawa	Tokyo area	<b></b>	┢		
	Tama Area	Tachikawa City	Commercial	Tachikawa	Tokyo area		1		
	Yokohama	Nishi Ward	Commercial	Yokohama Station, West Exit	Tokyo area	>	$\checkmark$	~	~
V	Yokohama	Nishi Ward	Commercial	Minatomirai	Tokyo area		1		
Kanagawa	Yokohama	Naka Ward	Commercial	Motomachi	Tokyo area		┢		⇒
	Yokohama	Tsuzuki Ward	Residential	Centerminami, Tsuzuki Ward	Tokyo area		1		


Prefecture	City	Administration	Classification	District	Area	Overall Evaluation (from October 1, 2017 to January 1, 2018)	Overall Evaluation (from January 1 to April 1, 2018)	Overall Evaluation (from April 1 to July 1, 2018)	Overall Evaluation (from July 1 to October 1, 2018)
	Kawasaki	Kawasaki Ward	Commercial	Kawasaki Station, East Exit	Tokyo area				
Kanagawa	Kawasaki	Nakahara Ward	Commercial	Musashikosugi	Tokyo area				
	Kawasaki	Asao Ward	Residential	Shin-yurigaoka	Tokyo area				
Nagano	Nagano		Commercial	In front of Nagano Station	areas other than Tokyo, Osaka and Nagoya areas				⇒
Niigata	Niigata	Chuo Ward	Commercial	South of Niigata Station	areas other than Tokyo, Osaka and Nagoya areas				
Toyama	Toyama		Commercial	Near Toyama Station	areas other than Tokyo, Osaka and Nagoya areas				
Ishikawa	Kanazawa		Commercial	Near Kanazawa Station	areas other than Tokyo, Osaka and Nagoya areas				
Shizuoka	Shizuoka	Aoi Ward	Commercial	Near Shizuoka Station	areas other than Tokyo, Osaka and Nagoya areas				
	Nagoya	Nakamura Ward	Commercial	In front of Meieki Station	Nagoya area	~	~		
	Nagoya	Nakamura Ward	Commercial	Taiko-guchi	Nagoya area	~	$\sim$	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	~
	Nagoya	Naka Ward	Commercial	Sakae Minami	Nagoya area				
	Nagoya	Naka Ward	Commercial	Fushimi	Nagoya area	$\overline{}$	$\nearrow$	$\sim$	~
Aichi	Nagoya	Higashi Ward	Residential	Ozone	Nagoya area				
	Nagoya	Higashi Ward	Commercial	Near Hisayaodori Station	Nagoya area				
	Nagoya	Chikusa Ward	Residential	Kakuouzan	Nagoya area				
	Nagoya	Showa Ward	Residential	Gokiso	Nagoya area				
	Nagoya	Atsuta Ward	Commercial	Kanayama	Nagoya area	$\sim$	$\rightarrow$	$\sim$	>
Gifu	Gifu		Commercial	Gifu Station, North Exit	areas other than Tokyo, Osaka and Nagoya areas				
Shiga	Kusatsu		Residential	Near Minamikusatsu Station	areas other than Tokyo, Osaka and Nagoya areas				
	Kyoto	Shimogyo Ward	Commercial	Near Kyoto Station	Osaka area				
	Kyoto	Nakagyo Ward	Residential	Nijo	Osaka area				
<b>T</b> 2	Kyoto	Nakagyo Ward	Commercial	Kawaramachi	Osaka area				
Kyoto	Kyoto	Nakagyo Ward	Commercial	Karasuma	Osaka area				
	Kyoto	Sakyo Ward	Residential	Shimogamo	Osaka area				
	Kyoto	Nishikyo Ward	Residential	Katsura	Osaka area				
0.1	Osaka	Kita Ward	Commercial	Nishiumeda	Osaka area				
Osaka	Osaka	Kita Ward	Commercial	Chayamachi	Osaka area				


Prefecture	City	Administration	Classification	District	Area	Overall Evaluation (from October 1, 2017 to January 1, 2018)	Overall Evaluation (from January 1 to April 1, 2018)	Overall Evaluation (from April 1 to July 1, 2018)	Overall Evaluation (from July 1 to October 1, 2018)
	Osaka	Kita Ward	Commercial	Nakanoshima Nishi	Osaka area				
	Osaka	Chuo Ward	Commercial	Kitahama	Osaka area			1	1
	Osaka	Chuo Ward	Commercial	Shinsaibashi	Osaka area	~	$\checkmark$	~	$\checkmark$
	Osaka	Chuo Ward	Commercial	Nanba	Osaka area	~	$\checkmark$	~	$\checkmark$
	Osaka	Chuo Ward	Commercial	OBP	Osaka area		1		
Osaka	Osaka	Yodogawa Ward	Commercial	Shin-osaka	Osaka area		1		
	Osaka	Fukushima Ward	Residential	Fukushima	Osaka area		~	~	~
	Osaka	Tennoji Ward	Residential	Tennoji	Osaka area		1		
	Osaka	Abeno Ward	Commercial	Abeno	Osaka area		1		
	Toyonaka		Residential	Toyonaka	Osaka area		1		
	Suita		Commercial	Esaka	Osaka area		1		
	Kobe	Chuo Ward	Commercial	In front of Sannomiya Station	Osaka area	$\overline{}$	$\checkmark$	~	~
	Kobe	Nada Ward	Residential	Rokko	Osaka area		1		
Hyogo	Nishinomiya		Residential	Koshienguchi	Osaka area		1		
	Nishinomiya		Commercial	Near Hankyu Nishinomiya-Kitaguchi Station	Osaka area		1		
	Ashiya		Residential	Near JR Ashiya Station	Osaka area		1		
Nara	Nara		Residential	Nara Tomigaoka	Osaka area		1		
Okayama	Okayama	Kita Ward	Commercial	Near Okayama Station	areas other than Tokyo, Osaka and Nagoya areas		1		
*** 1.	Hiroshima	Naka Ward	Residential	Hakushima	areas other than Tokyo, Osaka and Nagoya areas		1		
Hiroshima	Hiroshima	Naka Ward	Commercial	Kamiyacho	areas other than Tokyo, Osaka and Nagoya areas		$\checkmark$		
Kagawa	Takamatsu		Commercial	Near Marugamemachi	areas other than Tokyo, Osaka and Nagoya areas		1		
Ehime	Matsuyama		Commercial	Ichibancho	areas other than Tokyo, Osaka and Nagoya areas		1		
<b>F1</b>	Fukuoka	Chuo Ward	Residential	Ohori	areas other than Tokyo, Osaka and Nagoya areas		1		⇒
Fukuoka	Fukuoka	Hakata Ward	Commercial	Near Hakata Station	areas other than Tokyo, Osaka and Nagoya areas	~	~	~	~
Kumamoto	Kumamoto	Chuo Ward	Commercial	Near Shimotori	areas other than Tokyo, Osaka and Nagoya areas	~	~	~	~
Kagoshima	Kagoshima		Commercial	Kagoshima Chuo Station	areas other than Tokyo, Osaka and Nagoya areas		1		
Okinawa	Naha		Commercial	Kenchomae	areas other than Tokyo, Osaka and Nagoya areas				~











Copyright(C) ZENRIN CO., LTD. [Z18BB第2184号]

								tems (Symbols a			
Prefecture	City	Administration	Classification	District	Overall judgment (*1)	Price	Cap Rate	Office rent	Store rent	Price of condominium	Apartment rent
Hokkaido	Sapporo	Chuo Ward	Residential	Miyanomori		$\triangle$	$\bigtriangledown$		_	$\bigtriangleup$	
			Commercial	Ekimaedori		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$		_	_
Iwate	Morioka		Commercial	Near Morioka Station		$\bigtriangleup$					
Miyagi	Sendai	Aoba Ward	Residential	Nishikicho		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	_	—		
			Commercial	Chuo Icchome		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$		_	_
Fukushima	Koriyama		Commercial	Near Koriyama Station		$\triangle$	$\bigtriangledown$				
Saitama	Saitama	Chuo Ward	Residential	Shintoshin		$\bigtriangleup$			_	$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangleup$
		Omiya Ward	Commercial	Omiya Station,West Exit		$\bigtriangleup$		$\bigtriangleup$			
		Urawa Ward	Commercial	Near Urawa Station		$\bigtriangleup$		$\bigtriangleup$		_	—
	Tokorozawa		Commercial	Tokorozawa Station, West Exit		$\bigtriangleup$				_	_
Chiba	Chiba	Chuo Ward	Commercial	In front of Chiba Station		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$				
		Mihama Ward	Commercial	Kaihinmakuhari		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$				_
	Urayasu		Residential	Shin-urayasu		$\bigtriangleup$		_	—	$\bigtriangleup$	
	Funabashi		Commercial	Near Funabashi Station		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$			_	_
	Kashiwa		Residential	Kashiwanoha		$\bigtriangleup$			_		
Tokyo	Ward	Chiyoda Ward	Residential	Bancho		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$		_	$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangleup$
			Commercial	Marunouchi		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$			
			Commercial	Yurakucho/Hibiya		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$		_	—

# Trend Report of Prices of Intensively Used Land in Major Cities (July 1, 2018 to October 1, 2018)

							Trends in I	tems (Symbols a	re explained in t	he legend.)	_
Prefecture	City	Administration	Classification	District	Overall judgment (*1)	Price	Cap Rate	Office rent	Store rent	Price of condominium	Apartment rent
Tokyo	Ward	Chuo Ward	Residential	Tsukuda/Tsukishima		$\bigtriangleup$				$\bigtriangleup$	
			Commercial	Ginza Chuo						_	
			Commercial	Yaesu		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$		_	
			Commercial	Nihonbashi		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangleup$		_
		Minato Ward	Residential	Minamiaoyama		$\bigtriangleup$		_		$\bigtriangleup$	
			Commercial	Roppongi		$\bigtriangleup$					
			Commercial	Akasaka		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$			_	
			Commercial	Toranomon		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$		_	—
		Shinjuku Ward	Commercial	Shinjuku San-chome		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$		$\bigtriangleup$		—
			Commercial	Kabukicho		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$		$\bigtriangleup$	_	
		Shibuya Ward	Commercial	Shibuya		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$			_
			Commercial	Omotesando		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$				
		Toshima Ward	Commercial	Ikebukuro, East Exit		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$			
		Taito Ward	Commercial	Ueno		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$		$\bigtriangleup$	_	_
		Shinagawa Ward	Residential	Shinagawa		$\bigtriangleup$					$\bigtriangleup$
		Minato Ward	Commercial	Near Shinagawa Station, East Exit		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$			
		Koto Ward	Residential	Toyosu		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	_			
			Residential	Ariake		$\bigtriangleup$					

							Trends in I	tems (Symbols a	re explained in t	he legend.)	
Prefecture	City	Administration	Classification	District	Overall judgment (*1)	Price	Cap Rate	Office rent	Store rent	Price of condominium	Apartment rent
Tokyo	Ward	Koto Ward	Commercial	Aomi/Daiba	1 T	$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$			_	
		Setagaya Ward	Residential	Futakotamagawa	T	$\bigtriangleup$				$\bigtriangleup$	
		Nakano Ward	Commercial	Near Nakano Station	TT	$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$				
	Tama Area	Musashino City	Residential	Kichijoji	TT	$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$				
		Tachikawa City	Residential	Tachikawa		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	—			
			Commercial	Tachikawa	11	$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$			_	
Kanagawa	Yokohama	Nishi Ward	Commercial	Yokohama Station, West Exit		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$		_	
			Commercial	Minatomirai	11	$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$			
		Naka Ward	Commercial	Motomachi	ſ						_
		Tsuzuki Ward	Residential	Centerminami, Tsuzuki Ward		$\bigtriangleup$					
	Kawasaki	Kawasaki Ward	Commercial	Kawasaki Station, East Exit	TT	$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$		_	
		Nakahara Ward	Commercial	Musashikosugi	11	$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$		$\bigtriangleup$	_	
		Asao Ward	Residential	Shin-yurigaoka		$\bigtriangleup$			_		
Nagano	Nagano		Commercial	In front of Nagano Station	<b>↑</b>					_	
Niigata	Niigata	Chuo Ward	Commercial	South of Niigata Station	11	$\bigtriangleup$					
Toyama	Toyama		Commercial	Near Toyama Station		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$	_	
Ishikawa	Kanazawa		Commercial	Near Kanazawa Station	11	$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$				
Shizuoka	Shizuoka	Aoi Ward	Commercial	Near Shizuoka Station	11	$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$			

							Trends in I	tems (Symbols a	re explained in t	he legend.)	
Prefecture	City	Administration	Classification	District	Overall judgment (*1)	Price	Cap Rate	Office rent	Store rent	Price of condominium	Apartment rent
Aichi	Nagoya	Nakamura Ward	Commercial	In front of Meieki Station		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$			—
			Commercial	Taiko-guchi		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangleup$	_	—
		Naka Ward	Commercial	Sakae Minami	1 T	$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$			
			Commercial	Fushimi		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$		_	_
		Higashi Ward	Residential	Ozone		$\bigtriangleup$		_	_	$\bigtriangleup$	
			Commercial	Near Hisayaodori Station	TT T	$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$		$\bigtriangleup$	
		Chikusa Ward	Residential	Kakuouzan		$\bigtriangleup$		_	_	$\bigtriangleup$	
		Showa Ward	Residential	Gokiso		$\bigtriangleup$		_	_	$\triangle$	
		Atsuta Ward	Commercial	Kanayama		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$			_	_
Gifu	Gifu		Commercial	Gifu Station, North Exit		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$			_	
Shiga	Kusatsu		Residential	Near Minamikusatsu Station		$\bigtriangleup$		_	_	$\bigtriangleup$	
Kyoto	Kyoto	Shimogyo Ward	Commercial	Near Kyoto Station		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$		_	_
		Nakagyo Ward	Residential	Nijo	TT T	$\bigtriangleup$		_	_		
			Commercial	Kawaramachi	TT T	$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangleup$	_	_
			Commercial	Karasuma		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\triangle$	$\bigtriangleup$	_	_
		Sakyo Ward	Residential	Shimogamo		$\bigtriangleup$					
		Nishikyo Ward	Residential	Katsura		$\bigtriangleup$					
Osaka	Osaka	Kita Ward	Commercial	Nishiumeda		$\triangle$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\triangle$			_

							Trends in I	tems (Symbols a	re explained in t	he legend.)	
Prefecture	City	Administration	Classification	District	Overall judgment (*1)	Price	Cap Rate	Office rent	Store rent	Price of condominium	Apartment rent
Osaka	Osaka	Kita Ward	Commercial	Chayamachi		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$		_	_
			Commercial	Nakanoshima Nishi	TT T	$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$		_	
		Chuo Ward	Commercial	Kitahama		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$		_	
			Commercial	Shinsaibashi		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$		$\bigtriangleup$	_	
			Commercial	Nanba		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$		$\bigtriangleup$	_	
			Commercial	OBP	11	$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$		_	_
		Yodogawa Ward	Commercial	Shin-osaka	TT	$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$			
		Fukushima Ward	Residential	Fukushima		$\bigtriangleup$		_		$\bigtriangleup$	
		Tennoji Ward	Residential	Tennoji	TT T	$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$				
		Abeno Ward	Commercial	Abeno	TT T	$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$			_	
	Toyonaka		Residential	Toyonaka	TT T	$\bigtriangleup$		_		$\bigtriangleup$	
	Suita		Commercial	Esaka	TT T	$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$		$\bigtriangleup$	_	
Hyogo	Kobe	Chuo Ward	Commercial	In front of Sannomiya Station		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$		_	
		Nada Ward	Residential	Rokko		$\bigtriangleup$		_	_		
	Nishinomiya		Residential	Koshienguchi		$\bigtriangleup$		_			
			Commercial	Near Hankyu Nishinomiya-Kitaguchi Station		$\bigtriangleup$				—	_
	Ashiya		Residential	Near JR Ashiya Station		$\bigtriangleup$				$\bigtriangleup$	
Nara	Nara		Residential	Nara Tomigaoka	TT T	$\bigtriangleup$		_		$\bigtriangleup$	

							Trends in It	ems (Symbols a	ire explained in t	he legend.)	
Prefecture	City	Administration	Classification	District	Overall judgment (*1)	Price	Cap Rate	Office rent	Store rent	Price of condominium	Apartment rent
Okayama	Okayama	Kita Ward	Commercial	Near Okayama Station	11	$\bigtriangleup$		$\bigtriangleup$			_
Hiroshima	Hiroshima	Naka Ward	Residential	Hakushima	11	$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$				
			Commercial	Kamiyacho	11	$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$			_
Kagawa	Takamatsu		Commercial	Near Marugamemachi	11	$\bigtriangleup$				_	_
Ehime	Matsuyama		Commercial	Ichibancho	L D	$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$			_	_
Fukuoka	Fukuoka	Chuo Ward	Residential	Ohori	1						
		Hakata Ward	Commercial	Near Hakata Station		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$			_
Kumamoto	Kumamoto	Chuo Ward	Commercial	Near Shimotori		$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$			_
Kagoshima	Kagoshima		Commercial	Kagoshima Chuo Station	11	$\bigtriangleup$		$\bigtriangleup$		_	_
Okinawa	Naha		Commercial	Kenchomae	₹ T	$\bigtriangleup$	$\bigtriangledown$	$\bigtriangleup$		_	_

# *OUTLINE : Location, Land Use, and Other Characteristics of Each District*

Prefecture	City Name	Administration	District	Classification	Characteristics of the district including traffic route, nearest station and use
Hokkaido	Sapporo	Chuo Ward	Miyanomori	Residential	Walking distance from Nishi 28-chome Station on the Sapporo Municipal Subway Tozai Line. A neighborhood where mid- and high-rise multifamily buildings are concentrated in a high-end residential area.
Поккашо	Sapporo	Chuo Ward	Ekimaedori	Commercial	Near Sapporo Station on the Sapporo Municipal Subway Nanboku Line. Located on the south side of JR Sapporo Station. Developed commercial area with mid-to-high- rise office buildings mainly along the street leading to the station.
Iwate	Morioka		Near Morioka Station	Commercial	Adjacent to the east exit of JR Morioka Station. A commercial area developed with mid- and high-rise retail buildings.
Miyagi	Sendai	Aoba Ward	Nishikicho	Residential	Walking distance from JR Sendai Station. Area of mid-to-high-rise apartments in an expensive residential area.
wiiyagi	Sendai	Aoba Ward	Chuo Icchome	Commercial	Near the West Exit of JR Sendai Station. Developed commercial area where department stores and high-rise store buildings are concentrated in front of the station.
Fukushima	Koriyama		Near Koriyama Station	Commercial	Adjacent to the west exit of JR Koriyama Station. A commercial area developed with mid- and high-rise department stores and retail buildings.
	Saitama	Chuo Ward	Shintoshin	Residential	Walking distance from Kitayono Station on the JR Saikyo Line. Mid-to-high-rise office building and apartments have been built. Residential area stretching from Saitama Shintoshin.
Saitama	Saitama	Omiya Ward	Omiya Station, West Exit	Commercial	Near West Exit of Omiya Station on the JR Keihin-tohoku Line. Developed commercial area with mid-to-high-rise buildings, as well as large stores and large offices.
Sanama	Saitama	Urawa Ward	Near Urawa Station	Commercial	Near Urawa Station on the JR Keihin-tohoku Line. Commercial area with mid-rise store buildings and office buildings.
	Tokorozawa		Tokorozawa Station, West Exit	Commercial	Near West Exit of Tokorozawa Station on the Seibu Railway. Commercial area with stores and banks mainly along Tokorozawa Prope Street.
	Chiba	Chuo Ward	In front of Chiba Station	Commercial	Near the East Exit of Chiba Station on the JR Sobu Line. Developed commercial area with mid-to-high-rise store buildings.
	Chiba	Mihama Ward	Kaihinmakuhari	Commercial	Walking distance from Kaihinmakuhari Station on the JR Keiyo Line. Developed commercial and business area in the new city center of Makuhari with high-rise commercial buildings.
Chiba	Urayasu		Shin-urayasu	Residential	Area about 1.5 km south of Shin-urayasu Station on the JR Keiyo Line. Residential area with large apartment buildings.
	Funabashi		Near Funabashi Station	Commercial	Near Funabashi Station on the JR Sobu Line. Commercial area with store buildings and banks.
	Kashiwa		Kashiwanoha	Residential	Near Kashiwanoha-campus Station on the Tsukuba Express Line (about 33 minutes to Akihabara Station). Residential area with mid-to-high rise condominiums mainly around the station plaza.
	Ward	Chiyoda Ward	Bancho	Residential	Walking distance from Ichigaya Station on the JR Chuo Main Line and from Kojimachi Station on the Tokyo Metro Yurakucho Line. Residential area mainly consisting of high-rise condominiums, where offices and stores also have been built due to effect of commercial area in front of the station
	Ward	Chiyoda Ward	Marunouchi	Commercial	Developed commercial and business area near the Marunouchi Exit of Tokyo Station, which is the leading business district in Japan with large high-rise buildings.
Tokyo	Ward	Chiyoda Ward	Yurakucho/Hibiya	Commercial	Near Yurakucho Station on the JR Yamanote Line. Developed commercial area adjacent to Ginza, with large high-rise office buildings with stores, hotels, and entertainment facilities mainly along Harumi-dori Street.
	Ward	Chuo Ward	Tsukuda/Tsukishima	Residential	Walking distance from Tsukishima Station on the Tokyo Metro Yurakucho Line. Residential area where low-rise houses have been built among superhigh-rise condominiums.
	Ward	Chuo Ward	Ginza Chuo	Commercial	Near the Ginza 4-chome intersection and Ginza Station on the Tokyo Metro Ginza Line. A densely-developed, prime commercial area mainly along Chuo Avenue with high-rise buildings of specialty retailers, restaurants, and department stores.

Prefecture	City Name	Administration	District	Classification	Characteristics of the district including traffic route, nearest station and use
	Ward	Chuo Ward	Yaesu	Commercial	In front of Yaesu Exit of Tokyo Station. Developed commercial area with mid-to-high-rise store buildings and offices.
	Ward	Chuo Ward	Nihonbashi	Commercial	Near Nihonbashi Station on the Tokyo Metro Tozai Line. Developed commercial area with high-rise stores and office buildings mainly along Chuo-dori Street.
	Ward	Minato Ward	Minamiaoyama	Residential	Near Gaien-mae Station on the Tokyo Metro Ginza Line. Residential area with high-rise apartment buildings and with some office buildings dotted among them.
	Ward	Minato Ward	Roppongi	Commercial	Near Roppongi-itchome Station on the Tokyo Metro Namboku Line. Developed commercial area with high-rise store/office buildings.
	Ward	Minato Ward	Akasaka	Commercial	Near Akasaka Station on the Tokyo Metro Chiyoda Line. Commercial area with, in addition to high-rise office buildings with stores, low-to-mid-rise store buildings, theaters, and a broadcasting station.
	Ward	Minato Ward	Toranomon	Commercial	Near Toranomon Station on the Tokyo Metro Ginza Line. A densely-developed, prime business/retail district mainly along National Route 1 (commonly called as Sakurada Avenue) and also along Ring Road No. 2. The area is home to a large number of existing high-rise buildings and several big development projects under construction.
	Ward	Shinjuku Ward	Shinjuku San-chome	Commercial	Near Shinjuku 3chome Station on the Tokyo Metro Marunouchi and Fukutoshin Lines. Developed commercial area where department stores and mid-to-high-rise store buildings are concentrated mainly along Shinjuku-dori Street.
	Ward	Shinjuku Ward	Kabukicho	Commercial	The area located on the north side of Shinjuku Station on the JR Yamanote Line, on the east side of Seibu-shinjuku Station, and to the north of Yasukuni-dori Street. Busy commercial area with mid-to-high-rise buildings with movie theaters, amusement facilities, and restaurants.
	Ward	Shibuya Ward	Shibuya	Commercial	The area around Shibuya Station on the JR Yamanote Line. Developed commercial area with high-rise office buildings with stores.
	Ward	Shibuya Ward	Omotesando	Commercial	Near Meiji-jingumae Station on the Tokyo Metro Chiyoda Line. Developed commercial area with mid-to-high-rise store buildings mainly along the main street of Omotesando.
Tokyo	Ward	Toshima Ward	Ikebukuro, East Exit	Commercial	The area around the East Exit of Ikebukuro Station on the JR Yamanote Line. Developed commercial area with mid-to-high-rise stores and offices.
	Ward	Taito Ward	Ueno	Commercial	Near Ueno Station on the JR Yamanote Line, mainly along Chuo-dori Street (Ueno-koen Street). Developed commercial area with mid-to-high-rise stores.
	Ward	Shinagawa Ward	Shinagawa	Residential	Walking distance from Shinagawa-seaside Station on the Tokyo Waterfront Area Rapid Transit Rinkai Line. Residential area with high-rise apartment buildings and offices.
	Ward	Minato Ward	Near Shinagawa Station, East Exit	Commercial	Near Shinagawa Station on the JR Yamanote line. Commercial area developed with high-rise office buildings.
	Ward	Koto Ward	Toyosu	Residential	Walking distance from Toyosu Station on the Tokyo Metro Yurakucho Line. Residential area with high-rise and superhigh-rise condominiums.
	Ward	Koto Ward	Ariake	Residential	Walking distance from Kokusai Tenjijo Station on the Tokyo Waterfront Area Rapid Transit Rinkai Line. Area developed with high-rise condominium buildings and distribution facilities.
	Ward	Koto Ward	Aomi/Daiba	Commercial	Area around Tokyo Teleport Station on the Tokyo Waterfront Area Rapid Transit Rinkai Line. Commercial area developed with large-scale retail facilities and office buildings.
	Ward	Setagaya Ward	Futakotamagawa	Residential	Walking distance from Futakotamagawa Station on the Tokyu Den-en-toshi Line and the Tokyu Oimachi Line (about 15 minutes to Shibuya Station on the Tokyu Line). Residential area with commercial facilities such as department stores and mid-to-high-rise condominiums.
	Ward	Nakano Ward	Near Nakano Station	Commercial	Near Nakano Station on the JR Chuo Line. Busy commercial area with mid-rise store buildings and banks mainly along the shopping street Sun Mall in front of the station.
	Tama Area	Musashino City	Kichijoji	Residential	Walking distance from Kichijoji Station on the JR Chuo Line. Residential area where several low-rise stores can be seen among mid-to-high-rise apartment buildings.
	Tama Area	Tachikawa City	Tachikawa	Residential	Walking distance from Tachikawa Station on the JR Chuo Line. Residential area where many mid-to-high-rise apartments have been built.

Prefecture	City Name	Administration	District	Classification	Characteristics of the district including traffic route, nearest station and use
Tokyo	Tama Area	Tachikawa City	Tachikawa	Commercial	Near Tachikawa Station on the JR Chuo Line. Commercial area with stores and office buildings.
	Yokohama	Nishi Ward	Yokohama Station, West Exit	Commercial	Near the West Exit of Yokohama Station on the JR Tokaido Main Line. Developed commercial area high-rise store/office buildings.
	Yokohama	Nishi Ward	Minatomirai	Commercial	Walking distance from Sakuragicho Station on the JR Negishi Line. Developed commercial and business area within the Yokohama Minatomirai 21 area with high-rise store/office buildings.
	Yokohama	Naka Ward	Motomachi	Commercial	Walking distance from Ishikawacho Station on the JR Negishi Line. Commercial area with low-and-mid-rise specialty retailers.
Kanagawa	Yokohama	Tsuzuki Ward	Centerminami, Tsuzuki Ward	Residential	Walking distance from Centerminami Station on the Yokohama Municipal Subway Line 3 (about 21 minutes to Yokohama Station by subway). Residential area with apartments.
	Kawasaki	Kawasaki Ward	Kawasaki Station, East Exit	Commercial	Near the East Exit of Kawasaki Station on the JR Tokaido Main Line. Commercial area with mid-to-high-rise offices and store buildings.
	Kawasaki	Nakahara Ward	Musashikosugi	Commercial	Near Musashikosugi Station on the JR Nanbu Line (about 15 minutes to Yokohama Station and about 13 minutes to Shibuya Station on the Tokyu Line). Commercial area with mid-to-high-rise store/office buildings.
	Kawasaki	Asao Ward	Shin-yurigaoka	Residential	Walking distance from Shin-yurigaoka Station on the Odakyu Odawara Line (about 27 minutes to Shinjuku Station on the Odakyu Line). Area with mid-to-high-rise apartments.
Nagano	Nagano		In front of Nagano Station	Commercial	Commercial area on the Zenkoji Guchi side of JR Nagano Station. Developed with mid- and high-rise commercial buildings and hotels.
Niigata	Niigata	Chuo Ward	South of Niigata Station	Commercial	Near the South Exit of JR Niigata Station. Commercial area with mid-to-high-rise store buildings.
Toyama	Toyama		Near Toyama Station	Commercial	Near the South Exit of JR Toyama Station. Commercial area developed with mid- and high-rise retail buildings and hotels.
Ishikawa	Kanazawa		Near Kanazawa Station	Commercial	Near Kanazawa Station on the JR Line. Commercial area with hotels, offices, etc. mainly on the east side of Kanazawa Station.
Shizuoka	Shizuoka	Aoi Ward	Near Shizuoka Station	Commercial	Near JR Shizuoka Station. Commercial area with mid-to-high-rise stores and office buildings.
	Nagoya	Nakamura Ward	In front of Meieki Station	Commercial	Near Nagoya Station on the Nagoya Municipal Subway Higashiyama Line. Located on the east side of the JR Nagoya Station. Developed commercial with mid-to-high- rise office buildings.
	Nagoya	Nakamura Ward	Taiko-guchi	Commercial	Near west side of JR Nagoya Station. Developed commercial area with mid-to-high-rise office buildings and store buildings mainly along Tsubakicho Line which is the main street.
	Nagoya	Naka Ward	Sakae Minami	Commercial	Near Yabacho Station on the Nagoya Municipal Subway Meijo Line (close to the south side of Sakae Station). Developed commercial area in the south of Sakae district with high-rise department stores, commercial buildings, and office buildings mainly along Otsu-dori Street.
Aichi	Nagoya	Naka Ward	Fushimi	Commercial	Near Fushimi Station on the Nagoya Municipal Subway Tsurumai Line. Developed commercial area with mid-to-high-rise office buildings.
Aicili	Nagoya	Higashi Ward	Ozone	Residential	Walking distance from Ozone Station on the JR Chuo Line (about 11 minutes to Nagoya Station on the JR Line). Residential area with mid-to-high-rise apartments.
	Nagoya	Higashi Ward	Near Hisayaodori Station	Commercial	Near Hisayaodori Station on the Nagoya Municipal Subway Sakura-dori Line (close to the north side of Sakae Station). Developed commercial area with mid-to-high-rise office buildings.
	Nagoya	Chikusa Ward	Kakuouzan	Residential	Walking distance from Kakuouzan Station on the Nagoya Municipal Subway Higashiyama Line (about 12 minutes to Nagoya Station by subway). Residential area with apartments and houses.
	Nagoya	Showa Ward	Gokiso	Residential	Walking distance from Gokiso Station on the Nagoya Municipal Subway Tsurumai Line (about 14 minutes to Nagoya Station by subway). Residential area where stores and office buildings have been built among apartment buildings.

Prefecture	City Name	Administration	District	Classification	Characteristics of the district including traffic route, nearest station and use
Aichi	Nagoya	Atsuta Ward	Kanayama	Commercial	Near Kanayama Station on the JR Tokaido Main Line (about 4 minutes to Nagoya Station on the JR rapid Train). Commercial area with mid-to-high-rise store/office buildings.
Gifu	Gifu		Gifu Station, North Exit	Commercial	Near Meitetsu-gifu Station on the Meitetsu Nagoya Main Line. Commercial area to the north of JR Gifu Station with mid-to-high-rise stores and buildings mainly along the street in front of the station.
Shiga	Kusatsu		Near Minamikusatsu Station	Residential	Walking distance from Minamikusatsu Station on the JR Tokaido Main Line. Residential area with mid-to-high-rise apartments.
	Kyoto	Shimogyo Ward	Near Kyoto Station	Commercial	Near JR Kyoto Station. Commercial area with high-rise offices and hotels mainly around the Karasuma Exit (north) of Kyoto Station.
	Kyoto	Nakagyo Ward	Nijo	Residential	Walking distance from Nijo Station on the JR San-in Main Line (about 7 minutes to Kyoto Station). Residential area where both low-rise stores and high-rise apartments have been built.
Kyoto	Kyoto	Nakagyo Ward	Kawaramachi	Commercial	Near Kawaramachi Station on the Hankyu Kyoto Line. Developed commercial area with specialty retailers and department stores mainly around the Shijo-kawaramachi intersection.
Kyötö	Kyoto	Nakagyo Ward	Karasuma	Commercial	Near Karasuma Station on the Hankyu Kyoto Line. Developed commercial area with financial institutions and office buildings mainly around the Shijo-karasuma intersection and along Karasuma Street.
	Kyoto	Sakyo Ward	Shimogamo	Residential	Walking distance from Kitayama Station on the Kyoto Municipal Subway Karasuma Line (about 16 minutes to Kyoto Station by subway). Residential area where both mid-rise stores and store/apartment buildings have been built.
	Kyoto	Nishikyo Ward	Katsura	Residential	Walking distance from Katsura Station on the Hankyu Kyoto Line (about 8 minutes to Kawaramachi Station). Residential area where both mid-rise stores and store/apartment buildings have been built.
	Osaka	Kita Ward	Nishiumeda	Commercial	Around the west side of JR Osaka Station and of Nishiumeda Station on the Osaka Metro Yotsubashi Line. Developed commercial area with high-rise and superhigh-rise office buildings. Redevelopment projects are also actively in progress around the area.
	Osaka	Kita Ward	Chayamachi	Commercial	Area on the north-east side of Hankyu Umeda Station. Commercial area with high-rise stores and office buildings. Redeveloped buildings are constructed.
	Osaka	Kita Ward	Nakanoshima Nishi	Commercial	Near Nakanoshima Station on the Keihan Nakanoshima Line. Commercial area developed with high-rise office buildings. Multifamily buildings and hotels are located in its surrounding area.
	Osaka	Chuo Ward	Kitahama	Commercial	Near Kitahama Station on the Osaka Metro Sakaisuji Line. Commercial area with mid-to-high-rise store/office buildings mainly along Sakaisuji-Dori Street.
	Osaka	Chuo Ward	Shinsaibashi	Commercial	Near Shinsaibashi Station on the Osaka Metro Midosuji Line. Densely-developed commercial area with high-end brand shops and high-rise office buildings mainly along Midosuji Avenue.
Osaka	Osaka	Chuo Ward	Nanba	Commercial	Near Namba Station on the Osaka Metro Midosuji Line. Densely-developed commercial area with high-rise retail/office buildings.
Osaka	Osaka	Chuo Ward	OBP	Commercial	Near Osaka Business Park Station on the Osaka Metro Nagahori-tsurumi-ryokuchi Line. Developed commercial and business area with large high-rise office buildings.
	Osaka	Yodogawa Ward	Shin-osaka	Commercial	Located on the north-west side of Shin-osaka Station on the JR Tokaido Main Line and the Tokaido Shinkansen and Shin-osaka Station on the Osaka Metro Midosuji Line. Commercial area where large office buildings are lined up and company offices are densely concentrated.
	Osaka	Fukushima Ward	Fukushima	Residential	Walking distance from Fukushima Station on the JR Osaka Kanjo Line. Close enough to walk to the Umeda area. Residential area mainly developed with mid- and high- rise multifamily buildings with several office buildings.
	Osaka	Tennoji Ward	Tennoji	Residential	Walking distance from Osaka-Uehonmachi Station on the Kintetsu Osaka Line. Residential area with mid-to-high-rise office buildings and apartments.
	Osaka	Abeno Ward	Abeno	Commercial	Near Abenobashi Station on the Kintetsu Minami-Osaka Line. Commercial area located on the south side of JR Tennoji Station. A mixed-use skyscraper in addition to many mid- and high-rise retail buildings and office buildings are found in the district.
	Toyonaka		Toyonaka	Residential	Walking distance from Senri Chuo Station on the Kita Osaka Kyuko Railway. Residential area with mid- and high-rise multifamily buildings.

Prefecture	City Name	Administration	District	Classification	Characteristics of the district including traffic route, nearest station and use
Osaka	Suita		Esaka	Commercial	Near Esaka Station on the Osaka Metro Midosuji Line. Commercial area with mid-rise commercial buildings and restaurants.
	Kobe	Chuo Ward	In front of Sannomiya Station	Commercial	Near JR Sannomiya Station. Developed commercial area with large stores and specialty retailers.
	Kobe	Nada Ward	Rokko	Residential	Walking distance from Rokko Station on Hankyu Kobe Main Line (about 7 minutes to Kobesannomiya Station on the Hankyu Line). Residential area with houses and mid- to-high-rise apartments.
Hyogo	Nishinomiya		Koshienguchi	Residential	Walking distance from Koshienguchi Station on the JR Tokaido Main Line (about 14 minutes to Osaka Station). Residential area with multifamily buildings and retail stores.
	Nishinomiya		Near Hankyu Nishinomiya-Kitaguchi Station	Commercial	Near Hankyu Nishinomiya Kitaguchi Station. Commercial area developed with high-rise retail/office buildings.
	Ashiya		Near JR Ashiya Station	Residential	Walking distance from Ashiya Station on the JR Tokaido Main Line. Residential area with mid-rise apartments and with many good houses around it.
Nara	Nara		Nara Tomigaoka	Residential	Walking distance from Gakken Nara Tomigaoka Station on the Kintetsu Keihan-na Line. Close enough to walk to a large-scale shopping center. Residential area with high- rise multifamily buildings and retail stores.
Okayama	Okayama	Kita Ward	Near Okayama Station	Commercial	Near JR Okayama Station. Central commercial area of Okayama City with mid-to-high-rise buildings mainly on the east side of Okayama Station.
Hiroshima	Hiroshima	Naka Ward	Hakushima	Residential	About 350 m south of JR Shin-Hakushima Station, near Hakushima Station on Hiroshima Dentetsu and Johoku Station on the Astramline. Residential area where mid-to- high-rise apartments have been constructed and intensive use of the land is in progress.
Hiroshima	Hiroshima	Naka Ward	Kamiyacho	Commercial	About 1.7 km south-west of JR Hiroshima Station, near Kamiyacho-nishi Station on Hiroshima Dentetsu and Kencho-mae Station on the Astramline. Developed commercial area with mid-to-high-rise offices and store buildings.
Kagawa	Takamatsu		Near Marugamemachi	Commercial	Walking distance from Takamatsu Station on the JR Yosan Line. Central commercial area adjacent to redevelopment area with specialty retailers, department stores, office buildings with stores.
Ehime	Matsuyama		Ichibancho	Commercial	About 1 km northeast of Matsuyama-shi Station on the Iyo Railway Line. Near Okaido Station on the Iyo Tetsudo Tram Line. Central commercial area of Matsuyama City with mid- and high-rise retail buildings and hotels.
Fukuoka	Fukuoka	Chuo Ward	Ohori	Residential	Walking distance from Tojinmachi Station on the Fukuoka Municipal Subway Kuko Line (about 6 minutes to Tenjin Station). Area where apartment buildings have been built among large houses.
гикиока	Fukuoka	Hakata Ward	Near Hakata Station	Commercial	Near JR Hakata Station. Commercial area with high-rise office buildings mainly around the Hakata Exit (west side) of Hakata Station.
Kumamoto	Kumamoto	Chuo Ward	Near Shimotori	Commercial	About 3 km north-east of the Kumamoto Station on JR Kagoshima Main Line. Near south side of Tori-cho Suji Station on Municipal Railway (about 14 minutes to Kumamoto Station on the Municipal Railway). Central commercial area with retail shops mainly along with Shimotori Arcade.
Kagoshima	Kagoshima		Kagoshima Chuo Station	Commercial	Adjacent to the east exit of Kagoshima Chuo Station on the JR Kagoshima Mani Line. About 1.3 km southwest of the Tenmonkan area. Medium density commercial area with mid- and high-rise stores and office buildings mainly along a prefectural road.
Okinawa	Naha		Kenchomae	Commercial	Near Kenchomae Station on the Okinawa City Monorail Line. Semi-developed commercial area with mid-to-high-rise office buildings mainly along Onaribashi-dori Street and Kokusai-dori Street.

Item	Land Value Survey by Central Government (Land Value Publication)	Land Value Survey by Prefectural Governments	Land Value LOOK Report
Major Objectives	<ul> <li>Yardstick for land transactions among individuals and corporations</li> <li>Benchmark for appraisal by Licensed Real Property Appraisers (LRPAs)</li> <li>Basis for the calculation of the purchase price of land used for public works</li> <li>Basis for tax assessment of land value etc.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Gauge for the review of a transaction price under the National Land Use Planning Act</li> <li>Benchmark for the calculation of the purchase price of land based on the National Land Use Planning Act etc.</li> <li>Almost the same objectives mentioned in the left column</li> </ul>	To indicate the leading trend of land values in major cities by identifying the value movement of intensely developed districts
Organization in Charge	Land Appraisal Committee, Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism (MLIT)	Prefectural governors	Land Value Research Division, Land Economy and Construction and Engineering Industry Bureau, MLIT
Description of Monitored Land	A representative parcel of land in terms of land use, environment, and other features in its district (excluding the regulated area stipulated in the National Land Use Planning Act if applicable), in which a similar type of real estate development is found due to its prevailing natural and socio-economic conditions	A representative parcel of land in terms of land use, environment, and other features in its district (excluding the regulated area stipulated in the National Land Use Planning Act if applicable), in which a similar type of real estate development is found due to its prevailing natural and socio-economic conditions	Districts which are intensively developed with high-rise residential buildings, retail shops, and office buildings and which usually show a leading land value trend
Sample Size	26,000 standard sites (2018 Survey) XA total of 12 sites were temporarily took out from the survey due to the effect of the accident at the Fukushima Dai-ichi Nuclear Power Plant.	21,578 standard sites (2018 Survey) XA total of 15 sites were temporarily excluded from the survey due to the effect of the accident at the Fukushima Dai-ichi Nuclear Power Plant.	100 districts
Published Figure	The market value of each standard site as of January 1	The market value of each standard site as of July 1	The quarterly land value change rate of each district as of January 1, April 1, July 1, and October 1 (nine rate ranges)
Location of Monitored Land	<ul> <li>The City Planning Area of each municipality</li> <li>Area designated by the MLIT based on its expected active land transactions</li> </ul>	Throughout all 47 prefectures	Intensively used land in Tokyo, Osaka and Nagoya Areas and second-tier cities
Survey Method	Two LRPAs are retained for the appraisal of each standard site. The Land Appraisal Committee at MLIT examines the result, adjusts it if necessary, and determines the market value of the site.	One LRPA is retained for the appraisal of each standard site. The Prefectural Governor examines the result, adjusts it if necessary, and determines the market value of the site.	One LRPA estimates the land value movement of each district by roughly following the approaches to value employed in real estate appraisal, in addition to collecting information on the trend of the real estate market of the district.
Contents of Survey Findings to be Published	For each standard site, its value per square meter, size, shape, etc. are published on the Japanese Official Journal, newspapers, and the MLIT website.	<ul> <li>For each standard site, its value per square meter, size, shape, etc. are published by the prefecture.</li> <li>MLIT complies nationwide land values and posts them on the website and newspapers.</li> </ul>	The quarterly land value change rate of each district is published based on the nine rate ranges.
Legal Basis	The Land Value Publication Act	The Administrative Order for the National Land Use Planning Act	_

#### Comparison between Two Government Land Value Surveys and Land Value LOOK Report

Note to the Reader of the Land Value LOOK Report

While this report has been prepared with the utmost care, the reader must take full responsibility for the consequences of any investment decision making based on this report. The Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism will accept no liability for any loss that may arise from any use of the information contained in or derived from this report.